The Spirit ef 76 .

A request has been sent forth to all ministers to preach a patriotic sermon this morning. I shall preach my sort of a patriotic sermon as I have always done. I rather regret that this request has been made for otherwise I hoped to have one Sunday free from direct considerations of matters connected with the war. But it would seem to be , and indeed would be anxide unpardonable avoidance of an issue that must be met. What I shall say this morning many of you will not agree with. I may say things that I would rather not say, but the occasion is none of my making.

 Rousseau were advocating ideas that have since become **xha more common.

France was **max working up to the great Revolution. But all the countiris of the world were organized upon the old basis of **kha Authority to an hereditary ruler. The state was private property to be used and made use of in the interests of a comparatively small nobility. The some total of citizenship, loyalty, and patriotism was expressed in the terms of taxes and obedience, both to state and Church.

Here in America the same thing existed so far as legal enactment and formal allegience were concerned. The Colonies were ruled by a colonial governorbut not with the consent of the governed. In 1761 James Otis, advocate General of the Colony of Massachusetts resigned his office rather than plead the cause of the Governent, and became the leading councel in opposition in the suits at involving smuggling and the famous writs of assistance, I

-3-

It wad uring this trial that he made the famous statement that taxation the country that they were 'inherent, inalienable, and indefeasible by any laws, pacts, contracts, or governments, or stipulations which man could devise." Later John Adams declared that 'American Independence was taxations then born."

One hundred and forty one years ago thate were brittish troops in Boston. There were mobs, and riots. There were committees of correspondence, there was the Boston Tea Party. Every where there was disloyalty. every wherexThere was treason to the home government. One hundred and forty one years ago this day there was a very well defined conviction mamong these disloyal maximum rebels that a conflict was coming between the rebels and the government, between the kingxun disloyal subjects and the king. That conflict did come. Its history, its tradition, its heroism are part of the breath of life of every true lover of democracy.

The it was the world against the democracy. Even after the French
Revolution and Napoleon, the monarchies of Europe united to suppress
and prevent the spread of dread republicanism. This new nation replied
with the Monroe Doctrine when it was proposed to re-establish control
by monarchies of revoled spanish colonies in the Western Hemesphere

Now the slogan has changed. It is the world against monarchy. Whether that slogan is true to fadt or not, it is interesting to note that it expresses the only pretext upon which people could be rallied to the support of European war. One other fact is to be noted, and very government serious consideration, - That is the fact that each making in order to get the support of the nation had to present the war as a war of defense or a war in support of democracy. All these facts indicate gains in the spread of opinion in the direction of love for, devotion to, and belief in the principles and the institutions that embody the principles

that of democracy. You may almost circle the globe to-day and step from the soil of nations organized according to some measure of republican democratic government. To that proposition wexavexivexeekky of government of the people for the people for the people we are irrevocably committed. It has been a great acheivment. The task is by no means complete, kukxwe and we must not deceive ourselves with the smug wakinaak notion that we have accomplished that task. But note the fact that true allegience to this country, true allegience to the flag which is the symbol of this country, demands allegience to those principles upon which this nation was founded, for which the resolutionary war fought, for which the civil war was fought. Any thought, any conduct, whether political or social that violates those principles, even when done under the cover of patriotism, is high treason to this nation. To the furtherance of those principles, to the greater glory

of those principles, to the greatness of the nation because of the completeness with which those principles are becoming embodied in its khouse thought, its institutions, its purposes, its very life, all those in whose nature beats the love of freedom and life, give allegience xixxx without reserve. From this point of view every sermon that I have ever preached, every public address that I have ever uttered has been backed by as clean and unselfish a patriotism as it is possible for mex to muster out of the depths of my soul. But this nation that I love demands a higher allegience xxxx and a more constant loyalty, than the mere jingo-talk in such times as these. Long ago I enlisted in the quiet and silence of my own kkank soul to give all that I am to the increasing glory and power of that those principles, those institutions that are the foundation of this republic. I have come to know what those principal ration going to war. I believe that The New Republic is course principles mean to me. Sometimes it has been hard to follow them, but

forced into war, to spite of the 7-anifest indifference or reluctance to the best of my knowledge I have followed where they have lead me. " The majority of its population ; they have rightly manakwing attitude

We are now living in a nation at war with a great European Power which is now the last great nation of the Western World to cling to the have been in that majority. But the minority has preveiled. pronciples of authority Government. The declaration of war has come afte a long period of formal neutrality. It has come inspite of the wishes of the American people as a whole, and innspite of the wishes of the WONEKENER Predident of the United States. What the real forces were that have brought about this situation will not be discussed known until long after the war, and perhaps never. Whether our greatest enemies have been foreign nations of domestic factions is a judgement that each must pass on to his own satisfaction. The I have opposed the proposition of this nation going to war. I believe that The New Republic is correct when it states that " a great democratic nation gradually forced into

I have been in that majority. But the minority has prevailed, and we are at war. According to all the forms of our government, the vote of congress commits the entire country to the war. The vote of Congress is known vote of the people constitutionally. That the spirit of the constitution may have violated is a point open to debate. All that is now past history. Some day it will come up for review by the nation, and judgement will be passed, but that must be postponed.

I have opposed the warkerneen and the participation of this nation in the war because I have believed that it imperils the principle of democracy warkerneen without which this nation would be dead. To be sure I hate the institution of war. I think hat it is foolish,

barbaric, hateful to every conception of life that I hold dear. But that repulsion to war is not with me as it is with many a conviction that would prevent me from taking part in a war that I believed a necessity for the preservations of principles that I hold dear. If I work could see that the principles of democracy are really at stake in the war. I would support it. But the war was begun as a result of a long developing process of Imperial rivalry, especially in industrial fields. The Russian Revolution has given it a new turn, and is the first inkines incident that bespeaks xxx in any real way a success for democratic principles and institutions. One both sides the war was in part caused by the fear of democratic developments in domestic affairs. Russia was in the midst of revolutionary demonstrations when the war broke out. Germany was facing a serious conflict with the Social Democracy. England was face to face with the Irsh and Suffrage, and labor disturbances

in 1914. All these democratic tendencies were factos in precipitating the war. The war was the culmination, as I have said before, of the inexitable forces operating in European life, nationalism and industrial ism. It marks the end of an era. It closes the curtain on an age. It was a conflict of Empires.

But wars never end as they where begin. The Russian Revolution changes matters very seriously. It may rpove the factor that terminates the war, and sends a wave of democratic victory throughout the entire world. If that **xxxxxx** proves true the ppice that is paid for the results may not be too great, but in that case it has ceased to be a war of Empires but a revolution in the midst of war of Empires. It is, the spread of that Revolution that appeals to me.