Pittsfield, Mass. Nov. 14,1909.

Subject, - The Individual and Society.

I do not want to treat this as a theoretical and an academic question, but simply as a principle of guidance in common every day life. I want to recall some of the commonplace acts and sayings in which we express our commonsense philosophy upon this problem of the adjustment of the individual to society. There are two extreme academic views held on this prince question. On the one hand we have that view of determinism. According to this view, the nature of personality is absolutely determined by the environment. Our thoughts, our desires, our purposes, our conduct is the product of max our conditions, present and present. We are but the willing or unwilling atoms cast about through life by the working of tremendous and irrevocable laws, over which we have no conscious control. We are ships, rudderless, and unguided, beating around the ocean of

life, now in the grask of some raging storm, and now fleating idly by in some calm belt. Whatever is, is the mevitable result of remorseless and unchangeable laws. This point of view appears in various forms through history. One of the most uncompromising ecctrines based on this deterministic position is that of predestination, and election. We find it to-day in the doctrine of absolute MEXECUTIVEMENT economic determinism.

On the other hand we have the extreme doctrine of personal responsibility. There are but few, who hold to this with consistancy even in theory. But the substance of it is that every man is the absolute captain of his soul. He is, and becomes just what he wills to be. If he is vicious and irresponsible, he is that, not because he is the gictim of circumstances, but because he wishes to become vicious. If he but wishes he may brake through all the conditions of his life, and by sheer force of his personality, climb to the heights

3 -2=to which eh

to which he would attain. All the world is his for the awking. This point of view has figured in history also. We note it in the doctrine that is behind the evangelical theology. It rests with the individual. "You may be saved, if you but give your heart to Christ, and believe on him." We come across the same thing in practical affairs, in the eulogies that are bestowed upon men who, being born poor, have died rich. Then the take is passed aroung that all men may accomplish the same thing, we we get the extreme sta ement of this position in the philosophy of the Christian Scientist. All these limitations are but error of the mortal mind. If we have faith ehough, and each one may have enough, we shall rise above the limited conditions, and acheive our aims in the rarefied realm of pure truth.

Some people hold to one of these extreme theories, and some to the other, but as a matter of fact we all in actual practise, make take the middle of the road position, recogniz-

ing the truth of each position, and being sensible enough not to carry either to its absurd/conclusion. Let me point out, in the first place, some of the common things in which we all recognize the truth of the influence of environment on personality Take the matter of choosing a place in which to live. We do not voluntarily choose the worst place in the worst town as our a place of residence, simply because we think that the oversoming of the obstacles thus placed in our way is just the stuff to try our souls on, or just the stuff to bring out the good and noble qualities in our children. We know better than that. We do not make sacrefices to send our children to school at the reform schools, and jails, because we think that the more difficulties they have to overcome in acheiving their personality . the better it is for them. As a matter of fact we recognize the profound influence of environment upon individual per-

sonality, and up to a point we strain every nerve to have that environment such as will tend to assist us in realizing our aims and purposes. We try to make our homes bautiful, not simply for the sake of expressing our love of beauty, but quite as much because we know that the attractive and pleasing environment will react upon us, and tend to produce that which we aim to become. We try to make our cities as good as possible not simply because of our irrepressable impulse to make kanki beautiful cities, but because we know that as a matter of fact the condition of our civic life is bound to react upon us and our children, and will tend to mould and shape us after the pattern of its aims and ideals. The human being is like the plant. There are constantly appearing numberless variations. Those variations which are best adapted to the environment, are the ones bound to survive. If the environment is such as to make the undesirable variations the stronger, and more

useable, those variations will become permanent, and fixed. The only way to change them is by changing the environment. Thisxandagexafxanxirannanixmaxxbaxaccamplishedxinxanaxafxtwax wayaxxaitharxbyxalimbingxxarxby. As a mat er of plain celd in fact we do recognize the profound influence of environment up in moulding and shaping, not only the physical and mental, but the moral and spiritual life of man. To those who deny this one can only reply as ane repies to the person who claims that all portions of the Bible are equally inspired and helpful. We have only to ask them to turn over the pages of any bible that is used, and find the soiled pages. The pages that are soiled are the ones used, and the ones used are the ones that help, and the ones that help are the inspired ones, and they are inspired because they are human and sensible and practical. The final test of all theories is the preactical test, and in the

light of the practical test, we believe in the profound influence of environment upon the individual personality.

But this doctrine is one that has good and evil possibilities in it. When we use it to excuse our own short comings. and hold the other fellow responsible for overcoming his obstacles, we are the cheapest of the pharisees. The only true way of applying this doctrine to life, is just the other way round. Hold ourselves accountable to a high standard of unflinching struggle with the hostile environment, always on the alert to change and modify that environment not only for ourselves but for others to the end that it may tend to conserve the good values of human life, and leave behind tha bad values. If this doctrine is to be applied to excuse and condone for shortcomings in humna thought and conduct, let it be applied to other and not to ourselves. That I take it is the meaning of that passage in which Jesus exhorts people to judge rightously.

But from the same point of view, and by the same pre practical test, we affirm our akkining faith in the power of personality, and the influence of personality upon conditions. of the capacity of great personalities to mould and reshape conditons and environment. We manifest this faith in the first place, when we choose men to places of responsibility. We do not take the first man that comes along, depending upon the environment into which he is going to make him the kind of a perwon that we want. We know full well that the environment is to be influenced and modefied by his personality, just as his personality is to be influenced and modified by the environment into which he goes. Thus take a most practical illustrattion. Supposing we have a business plant which is in good shape well ordered, and effective, do we for that reason take the undisciplined man to manage it, trusting to the influence of

conditions to make him ef ective. Not att all. On the other ke hand if our institution seems to be going wrong, do we not select to put it to rights, a man who is effective, and has mixed effective principles. Is not the influence of personality one of the most profoundly recognized facts of life. It is indeed the function of human life km in the long run to take the rawmaterial of environment a mould it inked according to the pattern of our ideals. To aspire to do that and to have faith that it can be done, and that all the universe is working with us, that is religion. So, applying the same test, we come to see t at we believe in the original and spontaneous influence of the individual human life upon the conditions of environment.

Now this doctrine of the power and the capacity of the individual human xxxx personality to stamp environment with to imprint of its ideals and its convictions, is a grand doctrine

to apply to ourselves. Our strength, our efficiency for the common good, for the realization of our aims is in a large measure determined by the intensity of the conviction that we may make a contribution to the constructive developement of human society and human life. For ourselves let us hold that there is no unsurmountable obstacle between us and our prants promised land. But on the other hand let us be slow in passing our judgement upon others, whose struggle for live seems to carry them ever nearer to the husks in the swine trough.

You can see how far we have travelled from the old in idea that the kingdom of God is a perfect and completed place ready made for us, and whose doors will swing upon to us just as soon as we may pass the entrance examinations. That belongs to the longs ago and the far away. We have not only to fit ourselves for the kingdom, but we have to make the kingdom for

which we are fitting ourselves. The two go together. Into the fabric of our environment, as into the fabric of our personality is woven all the fruits of the rich romantic ages of evolution. That which we are and that which is around us becomes the raw material which we in our turn shall work up into the realization of our purposes, weaving thought by thought, and deed by deed, after the pattern of our own design, the human personality, individual and social that shall be. I know of no one who has expressed this idea so dynamically as Walt Whitman.

" I am the acme of things accomplished, and I am encloser of things to be.

My feet strike an apex of the aptes of the stairs,

On every step bunches of ages, and larger bunches between
the steps,

All below duly travelled, and still I mount and mount.

..... Immense have been the preparations for me,

Faithful and friendly tha arms that have helped me.

Cyclew ferried my cradle, rowing and rowing like cheerful boatmen,

For room to me stars kept aside in their own rings,

They sent influences to look after what was to hold me.

All forces have been steadily employed to complete and dex delight me,

Now on this spot I stand with my robust soul. "

And what shall I do with this robust soul of mine.?

To what end shall I work in this world to which I have been born. "All things are yours." says the ancient prophet. What does it mean.? Here an I, the product of the ages, packed to edge with the desire to live, to preserve and to maintain my my individuality, and to acheive aims, and to develope personality. All things are mine, All things are to be used by me to

to acheive my ends. The contribution that I make to the world is to be measured by the extent to which I develope in myself power and wisdon and culture. To this end I go forth, exacting tribute from nature to maintain my physical needs. from men to satisfy my mental and spiritual ends, I take the very forces of nature to and make them work for me. I take men and make to them work for me that I may have leisure to develope my intellectual powers, and give scope for the developement of my pesthetic nature. Grasping, eating, and, devouring I go about my life But stop, where shall I end if I carry this too far. Suppose by virtue of my industry, and my skill in laying tribute upon man and nature. I become the very ruler and kink of all. What is my satisfaction? Wherein do I gain delight? In what is my happiness ? Lo, I have all thought, all power, Every where men have become my servatsto me. I am alone. There is no one with whom I may talk, no one with whom I may share in my power, my

cultured and refined ideas. I am alone on the heights, and I can tell no-one of the beauty that I see. I am benumbed and unhuman. The greatest power of happiness in life, I have pushed ruthlessly from me in making others pay tribute to my culture. In brutalizing, and subjecting tham I have brutalized and enslaved my self. I have killed the heart within me, in killing those whom the heart should love. In my zeal for self- preservation, I have destroyed the only thing worth preserving, the power and the capacity for human fellowship. This is the stern logic of individual self -culture. But as a metter of fact we seldom follow it to its logial and its better end. Sometimes we come across the tragic cases, where men and women have followed this God of selfpreservation, and have secreficed every tie and ideal, enemies, friends and family even . on the cold and bloody alter of their desires and aims. It makes little

little difference whether this alter is dedicated to the lusts of the flesh, or the lusts of the spirit, the result is the x same, lonliness, issolation, desertion, personal and social death. The tragedy of Nepoleon alone at St Helena, in physical and spiritual misery, is true to the laws of human life. He z sacreficed the world for his ambition, and when it was realized there was no one to share the fruits with him. Maxx Most of us do not carry our selfishness to its extremt logical end. We make most of the world pay tribute to our developement and our comfort, but we are wise enough to reserve a small un-exploited group of people, with whom we may share our happiness and perchance some of our burdens.

But impossible is the man whose sole aim is context in self- preservation.

Minself. Equally impossible is the man whose sole aim selfsacrefice. We come across occasionally a being who has been

carried off his feet by the idea that he must do nothing form himself. Every thought, every deed must be directly for others He will sacrefice all that he has upon the alters that others have erected. He cannot take time to care for his body, because he must care for the body of others. He cannot take time to develope his mind, because he must see to it that the minds of others must be developed. He cannot take time to knowxand attend to his own business affairs, for he must be forever meddling with the business of others. For others he will sacrefice all. But pray, what is that all ? If he has nothing or is nothing in himself that he values, that he honors, that is dear to him, pray, what is the meaning of his sacrefice ? It is nothing It is worthless, it does not count. Exxrenindexenexeexxx He who gives, must have something to give, besides sheer goodwill. No, the tale is not told in the word, self-sacrefice. He is a shallow reader indeed, who thus reads the golden rule.

-17-

Love thy neighbor as thyself.

of the individ The true story is not the story of selfpreservation/at the expense of the the social. or the complete sacrefice and obliteration of the 9ndividaul for the social, but the selfpreservation, and the self expression, personal and social in and through the social. If we take the truth of those maxis magnificant words put into the mouth of Jesus by the writer of the Gospel of John, waxxxxxxx and transtalte them into our own language, we shall see what I mean. I came down from heaven, not to do mine own will / but the will of him that sent me. To this end have I been born and to this end have I come into the world that I should bear witness to the truth. XXXXXXXX Iam here not merely to realize my own personal aims, but to grasp the meaning of human life, and let the high aims of human life find there expression in and through me . I am born. not to feed at the crib of a common idealism, but to translate that

bear witness to idealism into a living reality, personal and social. to/the beauty and the truth of human life. History shows the truth of this. The men who have become the milestones of human progress, are not characterized by what they have taken out of the common life, but by what of truth they have translated into reality. The power and the strength of their personality, acheived at great cost and unspeakable toil, has not so much been given to the world, as it has been plowed into the very xxxxxxxx soil that we live on. Your end is not in yourself, not is it in society, but it is in both. The truth comes when we realize that our true relation ship is not expressed by the phrase, -Me and the world, the one over against the other, the one sacreficing to the other, but rather when we realize that our relationship is expressed by the phrase, myself in the world, when we the world becomes ours, and is so engrained into us, and we into

it, that everybleast bit of its wellbeing or its ill-being we know as our well-being and our ill-being. I would die for you. I would sacrefice my life for you. My life means nothing when compared with yours. I am willing to die that you make might live. - I might say to you in the extacy of emotion. Oh. go on , what do I care for your sacrefice, what do wan care Would I ask you to die that I might live. Would I glory in xk your death that gives me life. Then why all this complaint We honor and our heart thrills at the devotion of the martyr not bacause he acrefices his life, or holds his life of not account, but rather because he sees his larger life in his great pulsating world, and sees full well that his true selfpresxervation, and his true self-effectuation comes in plowing his ideals and his hopes, his purposes in the great social I life in which he moves, blo-d of its blood, bone of its bone, and soul of its soul.

In trutht, then the individual is realized only in the social, and the social is realized only in the individual. What does a man prophet if he individual, and gain lose his own soul, and again, Whosoever loseth his life for my sake shall find it, and whosoever would find his life shall lose it.

There are some men and women, who live under conditions that make for nobility in tyought and acheivment. There are some who live under conditions that make for viciousness and evil. The conditions react on the individual, and the individual reacts on the conditions. The world is ours, in the last fair pulse of its seethigh life. Its purpose is ours, and all its pow ers work together with us, when we swing into accord with Is laws. We argust to brittle a bridge account the boy of our limitations to a future of surface of surface. That our tenh: That's what we would not surface that the boy bothers. That our tenh: That's what we would not surface the boy bothers. The court of the bothers we would not surface the bothers we would not surface the bothers.

In the tack