Unity Church, Pittsfield, Mass. Nov. 6 '08.

Subject, -- Our Growing Hunger for an Absorbing Servis

I wish to speak this morning upon a theme that seems to me so pressing and so vital that even every thoguth and act of our modern life betrays its presense lurking in the packground. I have taken for a % subject, -- Our growing hunger for an absorbing serice. I suppose that if I had chosen to use a more strictly religious or theological phraseology I would have called it "Our growing Hunger for the Living God". That statement suggests perhaps a little more deeply what I wish to say, yet I want to an deal with the more apparent aspects of the theme.

I am sure that if you stop to think of it you will see clearly what I mean when I say that almost every aspect of our social life to-mday a manifestation of an underlying feeling of hunger for some relationship of human life that we lack. Believing as I do in the essentially divine character of human nature, I cannot view certain aspects of our modern life without reft reflecting upon their deeper and hidden meaning. Perhaps I should say upon their unconscious meaning. I am certain that beneath the surface of unrest and change of our time there is the deep and all powerful stream of human aspiration carrying us nearer to the great ocean where freedom and purity shall be the reflectments in which we shall mavigate our little crafts.

As an evidence of this underlying hunger for that

which we have not, we have only to call to our mind one or two of the characteristics of our conventional weaknesses. Take for example our reading. It may be an evidence of our advanced culture that we demand so many books and do such a vast amount of reading. But if you go into a book store and look over the list of the popular books, and tale a sample taste or two from their heterogeneous mass and what do you find. It reminds me of nothing so much as it does of a lot of in hot water highly seasoned and served for soup to satisfy the needs of a hungry man. You get a lot of sickly sentimentality, mixed with a fair amount of more or less improbable adventure. All of this is padded with a diluted mess of incoherent moral preachments. Yet these books are bought by the thousand and read

by people who are looking for food for thought. I do not mean to say that all people read these books. Yet it is safe to say that the publishers are not putting them on the market as a missionary enterprise. They keep coming and a hungry public ravenously grabs for them, rushes through their insipid pages, and still hungry and dissatisfied reaches out for more. ApplyX a the same line of thought to the Popular magazines. Suppose that we fed our bodies with food similar in character to the insipid stuff that we offer to a hungry mind.

Or think for a moment of the wild popularity of that modern theatrical production, -- The Vaude-ville. To be sure it is a delightful kind of a relaxation to watch MMEXATXING such a diversion

Ther is yet an another aspect of this evidence of hunger that is all too apparent. In the current % number of the Atlantic Monthly there is a very interesting article under the XXXXX title "Anthropemaaia" amania for men. Here again if you stop to think of it is an interesting suggestion. The public lecture is no 1-nger pupular unless you can bring before the public a man who is famous for something. After he has come and gone you can hardly find a man who has

to say about NXX the ideas which the lecturer presented, or the way in which he treated the subject in its relations to our great problems. Men will comment upon his personality, upon his influence as a personality upon the audience, and his pleasing effect as a speaker, but to get them to go into the permanent or abiding value of the address NX is the most difficult. We delight in the experiences of the man, and in the striking nature of his personality. But when we have come away from his presense, the whole thing has become but another hour of passive pleasure in which we have obliterated our own personality as a creative and constructive force.

Is not this a confirmation of the idea that

whole have we not obliterated our sense of personal worth as creative and responsible beings. Is it not just because we do not find in ourselves that which we would find that we rush off willy nilly flowing to to every chance personality who has done something, and still more prostrate ourselves before him, and drink from his experiences an imaginative imitation of that which we are not, but would be. Is it not for this same indefinable reason that we pour over the commonplace books that we may satisfy our instinctive craving for life which we do not get ourselves and which we are thus trying to get out of books, and poor books at that. All this hungry drinking of second hand emotion and second hand sentiment, and second ha

hand aspiration, and second hand piety, is but a too apparent confession that we are looking for some real emotion, real sentiment, real aspiration, real noble consciousness of life in its deepest and its most satisfying signifinance? However hard we may struggle against the fact, do we not have to admit to our selves at times this feeling of the relative unimportance of our individual personal lives.? IXXX Does not this hungering sense for a mora absolute service and work in life grow out of the fact that we have largely obliterated our sense of the value & and the importance of human life.

Now let us see how we have arrived at this situation. Take it first in the industrial world.

Time was when a workman at his trade worked in such

a way as to be able to stamp his personality upon his work. He did a perceptable bit of productive work. A our word shoemaker is a survival of the time when a man made a show from beginning to end. He took his a few tools and patterns and his pieces of leather to his task and out of them he produced with his own hands the complete finished product of a pair of shoes to day the word shoemaker refers to any one of the hands who has done a very small bit of work in the production of a shoe. The shoe passes through more a than fifty hands, and goes to the store a finished product, but it is an entirely impersonal thing. This tremendous change gas been of great value for the consumer, but it has destroyed the possibility, of any hope of the enlargement and expansion of the men who

irksome and inintersting. Hence his discontent, and hunger for a more absorbing service.

Very naturally under such conditions men some to measure them selves by the rate of their wages. This tendency to measure men in terms of money has become so common that we can hardly avoid it. Not long since a minister was coming to this city to keep deliver an address and he was advertised as a minister who gets a \$5000.00 salary. Pray where would keep Christ stand if he were measured on that basis. A man dies and we ask how much he left in money. Anew person moves to twon and the amount of his salary or his income is whispered abread, and he receives his rating on that basis. Perhaps I am stating this too strong-ly, but can you say that we are free from this vici-

ous standard of measure. ?

What does it mean? It means that we have dethron ned personality, and instead of measuring things in terms of personality, we have reversed the process at and measure personality in terms of things.

Thus I might go on to point out to you the fact that we have carried our individualism to such an extreme that we have produced a few monostricities in XXX the may of financial captains and buccaneers, and also certain literary and social elephants about which we talk, concerning whom we read, and to see whom we rush The rest of us have forgotten that we have any personality, and we drop back into the great mass of poor and the common place. We forget that our next door neighbor may be a far greater personality than the

monostrosity upon whom we long to set our eyes.

Do you suppose that the people of Israeal ever imagined that the poor herdsman of Tekea would ever be looked upon as the great prophet and that his words would ever be spoken three thousand years after he uttered them. Do you think that Jesus was looked upon by the people of his time in any other light than XX that of a poor ignorant peasant. Yet history shows X that he was the great personality of his time. He XX found personality among the poor fisherman of his tatown.

Now it is just because we have overlooked the importance of our own personality, and have allowed ourselves to be oblitereated by the mass, that we have this hungry feeling of the inefficiency of our lives.

Not having faith and confidence in our own worth and our own responsibility, not rising to the KEX height of really living a creative productive life which shall absorb and direct and control all our actions, we fall back upon the expedient of getting our experiences second hand from the reading of books and the listening to the lectures and addresses of men and women who have really done something. Tired of this we fall down to the plain of passive inactivity, waiting listlessly for the accident of some incident that shall send a thrill of life through our bodies. Thus the devotee of social pleasure, and the sensualist. Not having the faith in life that makes men and women, they inevitably revert to the lower forms of savage life, depending upon the tickling

to satisfy the deeper aspirations of the great human soul. And still we hunger and thist for a deeperband a more absorbing sense of life and the value of the daily living in the great welfare of the human race. We still long to think that we are of importance to the welfare of **K** humanity and the great world process. With wistful eyes, and hungry souls we wandet about in a half dased condition like **Leer*, lost in **Leor* and the threatening murmerings of humanity. How shall we satisfy that hunger.?-- That is the **M** question.

Go to those very men upon whom we feed ourvery being by the everflow strength which comes from the very abundance of their lives. What did they have more than you and I. You say that they were geniuses. Perhaps they were, but genius is not such a strange k thing. It consists largely in two things, which you and I may have if we but choose. It consists first of all of the fact that in every human life there is something of infinite worth to the world, and it consists of the faithful adherance to the work of giving to the world that which is within.

 the world and lift himself from the working class
to the loafing class. That was not his point. He came
to bear witness to the truth. That was his one fundamental truth. So completely was he filled with this
idea that human life as a whole and in the individual
has an infinite significance that he declared to the
astonished people, "I and my Father are one." In him
was the infinite wisdom and the infinite truth. You
say that to do that would be the act of a fool. Yet
consider what it means. We live in a world ordered in
in intelligence. Through experience we come to an understanding of that intelligence. Our experience is
of value, our life is a necessity to the world, it
wants our contribution. More than that, even if our
experience is not such as to render any great contri-

bution to new thought and to new purpose, we must always realize that the ideals of any time are ahead of the actual conditions. The world needs our ideals transformed into living realities. Thus we may become creative and living forces working together with the infinite in the unfolding development of the universe let that give us a sense of worth and importance. We come from the infinite bearing a message to the finite It is a work and a duty that floods our life with a radience of infinite worth. Let us not assume that we have as important a message a Jesus had to bear, but at least let us realize the apparent fact that we M