Churches for Truth and Justice.

A man said to me the other day, in speaking of the relation of Churches to social problems, -If they claim supernatural powers and supernatural origin, hold them to supernatural results." That seems to me to strike at the heart of much of the criticism that has been directed against the church and its shortcomings. The failures and the limitations of the churches in their work are tremendous when compared with the pretensions of the church. A ky just semse of modesty might save the hearts as well as the dignity of the churches. In much of the discussion concerning the various and sundry "crises" that "The Church" is facing, one EXMENT is reminded unconsciously of a street scene on a September evening when some vender of "Choice wares", by the light of a flaming torch, expounds the virtues of his particular brand of collar buttons. The following farse justities the other day. In reading the passage one is not cortain whether it was written in dead solemn earnestness by one lacking a sense of humor, or in a humorous bantering strain by some war. "The average Protestant layman, though he may be a nominal church member, does not realize the importance of the Church. He does not clearly see or fully appreciate the fact that it provides the cheaf motives, ideals, restraints, and discipline of life; that it stands guard over the sanctities of the home; that it safeguards propenty with protection that no police force provides ; that it contributes to the market-place the moral influences most needed there; that it equips the court with principles of justice without which human society would dissolve; and that it Expre constantly replenishes the enthusiasms that support education."

While hardly intended as such, this is the most cruel criticism of the Church that I have KEMMEXNEENERY heard from

either friend or foe. To make the Church positively and aggressively responsible for the "cheif motives, ideals, restraints and discipline" of modern life is really too much. Up to this point the most brutal criticisms have condemned the Church more for neglect of duty, or cringing subserviency, than for deliberate and constructive effort in producing the conditions of our social order to-day. This passage asserts that the Ex church should be supported because it does do these but that is the very point at issue. The contention is made by her critics that the church is dos Jist this fondemnation, either because it has failed in supplying the just protection to private property, or in furnishing just principles for the courts, or in replenishing the ideals of R education; or, if it be demonstrated that the Church has furnished these values to modern society, and society in its existing order is following the lead of the Church, then the Church is open to the criticism of have delivered false values. In either case the Church, presuming to be responsible for the good of the existing order, must also eccept the responsibility for its glaring defects, Here is the pith of all the criticisms against the Church. It is the unwarranted pretensions of the Church or churches that exasperate one, and call forth the stinging rebukes. It would be reassuring to hear the givere churches cry out, " God be merciful to me, a sinner." If I mistake not, churches are thus crying to-day. At any rate the as-

sumption that "The Church" or a church has some private monop-

oly on " the chief motives, ideals, restraints, and disciplines

of life"; that it can grind them out, and furnish them ready

made in standard sizes to all comers, is an unworthy survival

of the Middle Ages. To use a phrase once used by John Wise, -"

It smells of the Pope's kitchen."

all the

The Church is one of the institutions of society. It is at once, a monument to human ideals and life values of the past and the organized channel through which men and women have xx sought to satisfy a need of humanity. As such it shares in all the imperfections and limitations of society as a whole, It is not, never has been, and cannot/a complete entity apart from all the institutions of society, and able to produce at will the eternal values, and train the whole strength of its organized force upon the task of their realization. For the most part the same people who make up the body of the church, amso make up the body of society. The ideals of the one are reflected in the other, both good and bad. The vital living force that produces our cheif motives, ideals, restraints, and discipline of life?" is not in the institution, but in the human life that supports and builds the institution as the channel or common purpose and common effort. It is gratuitous to speak of the attitude of "The Church" towards this problem or that. It has no attitude any more than society as a whole has an attitude. Individual churches will have an attitude towards any given problem, and it will be determined by the attitude of the people who make up the church. Their mental, moral and economic status and developement will determine their attitude. When new and decisive issue appears , some churches will take one attitude and others will take another, while still others will split. This fact is illustrated again and again in history. 

this growth process of society in which the churches are a part the integrity of any single church, its people or its minister, or any group of churches, is determined by the fundamental motive of its action in the face of its issue. The function of the church in the social economy is to seek for, conserve, and realize the great values of human life. Upon this fundame

To the son

In sel this growth forcer of society, the churches have a fact. They are subject to the some demands of whility and officiency of proction in the sound order or other institution Its function is to sick conserve, and realize in life the great life volues. first what those volues are, and just four they are to be ottained is ofen to question, as the naives interfretations of religious Experience has wither But whether the of the chiles is the some, as to purdamential wotive and furfore, there were to hardly Ir question. The move integrity of any church, its ferfle or its univerters, or by the surceity and fidelity, with while it follows its by the motive of its activity. If any minute a any feofle violate the litegrily of waters let them answer fail.

this proving access of anciety discussed the character are a part

matty of the cotton in the frag of its issue. The function

of the church in the sectal acquaint in to seek for, conserve,

the the groot value of business iller then this fundement

mental purpose and motive there can be no difference of kriming opinion. To seek for and to conserve the life values that are worth while is the purpose which while is the purpose with the purpose and motive there can be no difference of knimm opinion. To seek for and to conserve the life values that are worth while is the purpose which while is the purpose which will be a subject to the purpose of the purpose of the purpose and motive there can be no difference of knimm opinion. To seek for and to conserve the life values that are worth while is the purpose which while is the purpose with the purpose which while is the purpose while the purpose while the purpose while it is the purpose while the

But there is room for a wide and honest difference of opinion as to the nature of these values and the way and manner in which they may be realized. Without assuming infallibility, or questioning the integrity of any who differ from me, I want to state what seems to me must be the inevitable attitude of churches in which the spirit of modern thought is predominnant towards the social questions. The social unrest of our t times in the political and industrial fields as well as in the religious aspects of life is the to a profound shange EXXX revolution that is going on. The modern world is working towards a new social ideal, The ideal of the ancient world was classically expressed in Plato's Rk conception of Justice as embodied in the Republic. A rulling class of intellectuals Quided the state. A warrier class, obedient to the philosophers, guarded the state, while the work was done for all of society by the laboring class, whose function in society was to work and to obey. The most complete expression of this ideal appears in the social order of the Holy Roman Empire, with the Church as the intellectual class, the princes and lay nobility as the warrier class. The serfs were the laboring class, whose task was implifit obedience to, and the support of the upper classes.

Since the beginning of the Reformation society has been engaged in the task of replacing the social order of the Middle ages by a social order in which the principles of democracy shall find expression. The alleged authority of the Church has been transferred from the Papal monarch to the people at large.

towards

Experience in the world-life instead of an unnatural revelation. The old ideal of the divine right of monarchs has been replaced by the principle KNENERIZERIZERIZERIZERIZE THERE that the right to rule arises in the people, and the ruler is not a lord and master, but a servant carrying out the will of the people. The political right has been socialized. In the same way we have universalized the idea of sacred writings. To-day the problem in theology is one of socializing the ideal of manhood. Is Jesus the revealing type of human perfection, or is he one of those who has been reaching out after, the ideal and along with others, has made this contribution. Is the source of in Jesus as the Monarch, or is it in the common life.? Is he the lord and master of humanity in this aspect of life, or is

he a servant who has done a helbe work for humanity ??

The same forces and principles are at work in the political and industrial life. In property and property interests the lords and masters of humanity, in whose service humanity is to sacrefice every value that conflicts with their greedy demands.? The question is ethical. Are we to have a social m order in which material wealth shall be the monarch, and rule over us either with an iron hand in all its nakedness, or with an iron hand covered by a silk glove. The same principle is at stake to-day that was at stake when the Puritans were struggleing against the accepted principle of the divine right of kings to rule, and the divine obligation of subjects to obey. To-day we are contending against the idea of the "divine" right of the commercial and industrial system. It is in fact our lord and master as the disclosedicats of political and industrial demonstrate. It has not been particular how this position of mastership has been gained, as the revelations of corrput practices show. Its rule is no longer held unchallenged. All

over the country are the evidences of a great uprising against the overlordship of the "System". It is a struggle between the rights of personality and the rights of concentrated wealth and power A Shall theme great industrial system be the servant of human life, contributing to the growth, developement, and to-the emancipation of personality ? Or, shall it be the lord and mas-XEXX ter to whose word of command we shall submit, and upon whose alter we shall lay our sacrefices of degredation, poverty, debauchery, corrpution, and even life itself ? Shall the many become the EEXXXXX servile subjects of the industrial and commercial system, or shall the system become the servant of the many? Has this structure of modern life into whose km building has gone the labor, the brains, and the interminable hours of toil and sufferking of millions of people the right of way ? tow the creature become master of the creator ? Is society to remain plutocratic, or shall it become democratic? the care hand we will have the subjection of the rights of humanity to the interests of wealth and things . On the other hand we will contenthe EDM supremacy of human life, assisted and wad supported in its pursuit of the great human values by the institution which it has created for that very purpose, Shall the institution be the master or the servant of man ? That is the essense of the social question to-day, just as

Now towards all this problem "The Church" has and can have no common attitude in the very nature of things. Churches which are identified with what is by all their life values must of necessity identify themselves with the values that they pleasternay of the problem and the believe in. Tradition, authority, institutionalism, formalism in religion, go hand in hand with the same point of view and the same forces in the social order at large. In all this the in the force of the induces of the conference of t

at an earlier day the question was as to whether the govern-

dividual and numerity to the sacreficed for the mestitudion. One can see the forces of society at present lining up according to these principles. On the other hand those given churches and those people who believe in the principle that institutions of whatever nature are the natural product of human values, and are of use only so far as they serve the first larger interests of human life, must take a position quite the opposite from the former. They must by the very nature of their mental and moral makeup, in conformity to their life values, hold that institutions must serve human life, and product the them.

Now the immediate problem before us, is the relation of the Churches of the latter type to the central question upon which all forces of society are direct with the attention question of the relation of churches to social problems is raised that the churches have to do only with individuals; that its function is simply to influence and stimulate the life values of individual men and women. If any man comes in contact directly with the business and commercial atmosphere to-day he will soon find that pieus teachings in the Churches are contradicted by the facts of life (every single day) in the industrial order. In church he may learn that human life is sacred, but in business life he learns that it is not. He may learn in the churches that the home is sacred, but in business life he soon finds that homes are being ruined, and all the most holy relations of life are trampled upon. While we are producing enough to more than satisfy the needs of the nation, children are going hungry, mothers are working in the mills and factories. children and youngpeople are compelled in by bread and butter necessity to work when they ought to be at school or at play. In the church one may learn that we should love honor and jus-

tice, but once in the grip of the world, he knows that money is the thing loved, that a premium is placed upon wikkersix dishonesty, and every consideration is sacreficed to the dollar. This is not an exaggerated statement. I have had most that from careful and intelligent business men, tell me that very thing. One man in particular said, -" It is not difficult to make money but it is difficult to make it honestly." He is not a fanatic. Once start in the task of developping ideals and goodness in the individual, and follow that ten steps outside the walls of the Church building, and you come up against the whole steam roller of modern industrialism crushing and gringing those very principles, and those very individuals into the dirt and gravel of its high-way of "prosperity and profits". I am hy no means blind to the constructive values of modern industrialisms but the records of corruption, of death by wanton neglect, by unhuman surroundings, by disease, of the alliance of the System with vice and crime as disclosed by Judge Lindsey, Steffens and by personal experience, - Great God , is there a man whi with a particle of Furitar blood in his veins who would not protest, and fight against the cruel wanton sacrefice of human life , and noble life values by this over-lordship of the in = dustrial system to-day. Once get near enough to hear the hissing steam of its hidious inhuman machine, and certainly no live man would be content to say that is exhalted aim and purpose is to prepare people to die without complaint beneath its whe wheels. The thing is a mounter terribee, work blood. Thinky land in Men and women together in a church fellowship are deciding what seeds are worth while to plant and grow in the world garden of ours. Fools would we be to plant them, and then stand by and see them choked and destroyed by a vicious growth of weeds. As people banded together in a church fellowship our

task is not merely to sit together quietly before a cheerful open fire, and talk about and describe the beautiful flowers and the delicious fruit that we might produce if it were not for those kerrin vicious weeds. Our task is quite as much out in the world garden preparing the soil, pulling out the weeds root and branch, nurturing and caring for the values that we wish to produce. In doing this work we may break up into groups or we may go forth as a body, that is not so important so long as we do the work.

Now these free churches came to their birth in their struggles against the overlordship of an institution. They to rdid a noble work in throwing aside the institution of slavery for the same reason. They have always held to the supremacy of personality over the interests of the conflicting institution. The very breath that gave them birth was the breath of freedom and the fostering mother that developed them was the ideal of the ethical expression of the religious (m face to face to day with problems that involve the life or MR death of the freedom that gave us birth, and the foster-mother that nourished us, what are we todo? There is but one thing for us to do. Out into the world-garden we must go to fight for justice, for truth, for rightousness, for human life, and the great life values, to fight with the God Spirit, and to be brave soldiers in the army for humanity. I dispise that appeal that makes capital out of the unrest of our times, and hopes to build therefrom a great ecclesiastical institution. God forbid that any church should ever thus prey upon the discontent and the suffering of human life to \*KAS increase its size or fill its coffers, that it may say to the world, "Behold how large and powerful, we are." If our work is not inspired by a single-eyed love for truth, and humanity, then let us cease where we are. A thousand times more valuable to society

Once out in the world garden, what do we find ? Somepeople seem to think that outside of the churches there are x vast hoardes of men and women who are languishing to enlist under the standards of the ideal. Not so. The vast hoardes are as society as a whole. They acceet its standards, and follow in its conventional pathways. No one need delude himself with the hope that so soon as he shall hoist his banner, the multitudes will flock to it. The task is not that easy. The worldground may lie fallow, as Prof. Doam says, but before the flowers bloom there is a long, tedinus process before us. But with such a small group to do the work, how hopeless the task ?"Not so" again I say. The world forces work even xnd till now for human life and human freedom. Here and there you will come across some individual, in whom the kranth of the modern world ideal has found lodgement. He will know you, and you will know him. Henceforth you will work together. As time goes on the number will increase, and by and by the small group becomes the great body, and before it knows what has happened, it has stirred the whole social order from its apathy, it has set people to work for truth and humanity. It has become a live full-grown man at work for humanity.