The Ethical Aspect of Socialism.

Most people are aware of the fact that there is such a force in the social life of the modern world as socialism. Even the Rre Pres. of the United States knows it, and the supreme court knows it. They came in contact with it not long since and did not have the nerve to cross sticks with it. The mighty hunter knows it, but he does not understand. So it remains, when the high priests of government have no lighted candle to place on the buxk basket, for the socialist to become the cloud by day and the piler of Xx fire by night that shall lead the hosts of mankind through the wilderness of these troubled times into the promised land. Amid the political anarchy, and social chaos of to-day, amid the wild scramblings of a decaying capitalism, it falls upon the shoulders of the socialist movement to become the conserving force of the revolutionary changes that are immanent . Amid the multitude of false and superficial leaders, of demigogues and charlatans who will appeal to the people of the modern world in these troubled times it becomes the duty of the socialist to keep his head clear the xxtraight xand xnarrawxpath , to keep his feet solidly on the

ground of fact, and to walk with a steady and resolute step over the rough and stony soil of the next twenty years of American xx life. I assume that you have not come here to be entertained, if you have you will be disappointed. I did not come here to entertain you, I came here to give you the best thoughts that I have become as to others as no other fact of modern life does appeal. In the socialist movement I see the only alternative to the most cruel and horrible despotism in the known history of man. In it I see the possibilities of the most parkers just and humane social order that has ever graced the surface of these fair world of ours.

much time is past when it is necessary for one to spend much time in pointing out the serious limitations of existing conditions. That task is being done with such tremendous effect by conditions themselves that each one knows that he is facing a solid and obstreperous fact. It does not take very much skill and acumen to tell that something is the matter. The extreme and enervating poverty, the gross and vulgar wealth, the political x corruption of the ruling powers, the alliance of capitalism with vice and crime, the prostitution of body and mind and soul to the interests of commercial profit are as apparent as the sores on

on the body of a lepor. It does take acumen and skill to determin the cause of these sores of our social organism, and the suggest a curative remedy. The apologist for madernxxxxixix the existing social order will tell you that those sores are but surface irretations of a temporary. They are no indication of the real condition of the social organism. Just apply a few salves on the sores in the form of legislation, and they will soon disappear, and we shall be as healthy and rugged as we ever were before. On the other hand the socialist will tell you that the condition is more deep seated. The blood and tissue of the social order is even more diseased than the surface indicates. He examines the case with considerable care. He finds that the great heart of the social organism, the system of economic production and distribution of society has been tampered with. The arteries kxxx that should carry the necessities of life to all parts of the body , have ke benn set upon by a gang of parasitic leaches, who have sucked the health, and the life of the body to which they cling. So the socialist says that the sores cannot be removed, until the parasites have been removed, and the heart of economic production and distribution pumps its full supply of food and clothing and shelter through the arteries of the socaal organism. We need not

legislative salves but a changed social order.

He bases this diagnosis upon the the facts of the present conditions and the history of the modern world. I want to take a few moments to present the historical background out of which the socialist movement has evolved. Some people will tell you that the socialist movement is but a passing fad, a sort of a greenhouse crop peautiful flowers that never could stand the xim vigor of real out of doors reality. Such a conception is the fruit of a most profound ignorance. The socialist movement has its origins deep in the roots of history. It is the legitimate child of the moderm world. Let us trace its ancestory for a moment. By the Phrase, Modern World, I mean that social order towards which xx society has been tending for the past eight hundred years. Not a only in point of time but also in fundamental characteristics do is the modern world to be contrasted with the ancient world. For a more complete developement of the modern world we must look forward to the coming era when capitalism shall have disappeared. For the full and complete expression of the ancient world we mux must book back to the cneturies when feudalism was in full swing.

Feudalism was a social order based on the fundamental principle

of privilege. Plato, the Greek Philosopher, wrote a book in which he pictured the ideal social order. According to Blato the ideal social order, which should be the embodiment of perfect justice, must be a social order based upon the segregation of seciety into classes. In his Republic, he provided for three clear cut definite classes. In the first place there was the class of philesophers, whose duty and function is was to rule and govennthe state. By virtue of their wisdom they held this power. To them all others must give obedience. But the philosophers were to be aided in their task of administration by the soldier class. The soldier class was the strong right arm, and the big stick, that was to enforce the wisdom of the philosophers. These two classes constituted the privileged class of Plato's ideal republic. But there was yet another great class, the laborers. It was their duty to do the work, provide the food, comfort, luxery of the state, and to obey without question the commands of their masters. They had no privilege but the privilege of where obedience, and no right but the right to labor.

Now if you carry this ideal of Plato's in your mind and

with that as a measuring rod examine the social order of feudalism, you will be struck by the extent to which the ddeal of Plato is fulfilled in those conditions. There were three great classes under feudalism. The xxxxxx priests, the lay nobility, and k the serfs . The Church , with the pope at its head was the philosophical class. From it emanated all awisdom and truth. In 1302 Pope Boniface the eighth made the claim that God had appoited the institution of the Church with the papacy at the head as the representative of God on earth. To his command all must when submit. The king and the prince must do his bidding. It derived its right to rule by virtue of a special privilege, a monopoly on kr truth. Thus the secular nobility became the soldier class of the order to do the bidding of the Pope. If the pope commanded the meperor to go on a crusade, he went. It was the arm of power For all this great superstructure of knights, barons, priests, popes arch-bishops axxxxxxxx and all the motly gand of parasites there existed the vast numbers of the serfs, whose task it was & to labor, and privide for the privileged class. Their realtion as human beings to the church and the state is well indicated by the location of their barracks in the monastic settlements. They

lived in barracks privided for them by their masters and located aprt from the other buildings, close to the sheds in which live the other beasts of burden, xxixxxxxxx and swine. Here are the three classes of Plato's Republic , performing precisely the fun ctions which Plato Minitedxiaxiaxianex described. Thexamixan The basis of it all was the monophdy waxxhexxxxx in the ownership of land. This was supported by the ignorance of the serfs, by the claims of the Church, and the power of the armed knights. The Church says to-day that its authority rests upon the decharation claims rest upon the philosophy of the Pagan Philosopher Plato. and have about as much to do with the teachings and commands of the caprenter of Nazareth as you and I have to do with the decisions of the supreme court. The truth is that the serfs were pris oners sentenced to hard labor for life by the institution that owned the land and swung the big stick. The walls of this prison were the walls of economic necessity. The ughly , brutal, appearance of the walls were relieved by the poisonous weeds of the claims of the Church to a supernarural control of men, and the claims of the state to rule by divine right.

But privilege cannot always maintain its alleged monopoly . whether it be a monopoly on truth, or land, or the tools of production and distribution. The sun shines just as bright outside of the walls of the big estate, as it does inside. Upon the imprisoned serss the light of truth was throwing its rays. A strange unrest and dissasisfaction was developing among them. The Church and the state might hold them all in bondage, but it could not keep them from observing the conditions about them, and it could not keep them from thinking about what they saw. Slowly silently they prisoners of hope were making their preparations to fulfill their historic mission in the evolution of human society. The man more the church and the state asserted its claims to rule by dixvine right, and the more the prisoners were commanded on the penality of eternal damantion to obey their masters, the more theyt h thought about their conditions. The more they thought about their conditions . the more inhuman and monstrous the whole social wxx order of feudalism became to them. Here is the most interesting record of that dim past. In the year 1165 thirty weavers of axx Rord in the diocese of Worcester, were summoned before the coune cil of Oxford. These humble workingmen, although they claimed to

to be Christmans, and to reverance the teaching of the early apostles, were charged with making light of the sacrements and priestly, and absenting themselves from the Parish services. They were condemned, scourged, branded as heretics, and driven out into the winter cold to drive die. Thus says the Chronicler of this story the pious firmness of this severity only cleased the realm of England from the pestilence which had now crept in, but also prevented it from creeping in again.

Two hundred years later England was in the midst of the peasants revolt. The worm had turned, The serf, the laborer, the outcast, the beast of burden of feudalism was fulfilling his historic mission. By the middle of the fourteenth century new life was stirring in the prisons of feudalism. There was a great social unrest among them. Just what that meant, is shwon by the teachings of one English priest, who was one of the leaders of this unrest. The Privileged classes called him the mad priest of Kent. He was an undesirable citizen. He thought, and expressed ki his thoughts whenever he was out of poison. His name was John Ball. He spoke as one having authority, and not at the rexest priests. "Good people," he said, "things will never be right

in Englandaso long as

there be villeins and gentle folk. By what righ are they whom we call lords greater folk than we ? On what grounds have they dewserved it ? If we all came of one father nad one mother, of Adam and Eve, how can they say or prove that they are greater than we. if it be not that they make us gain for them by our toil what they spend in their pride. They are clothed in velvet, and warm in th their furs and ermines, while we are covered with rags. They have wine and spices, and fine bread, we have only oak cake and straw, and water to drink. They have leisure and fine horses: we have p pain and labor, and the rain and the wind in the fields. Yet it is of us and of our toil that these man hold their estate." Such was the message that John Ball and his kind spoke with such authority that they krak started the peasants revolt, broke the back of feudalism, and laid the foundations of the beginning of the modern world, laid them on the solid rick of democracy. It is almost six hundred years since John Ball and his followers marched to London, and demanded their freedom from the cowardly king, who betrayed them. Two great tasks have been before men, the task on the one hand of detroying the social order based on privilege, and classes, and the task of upbuilding a new social

based upon the principles of democracy and human fellowship.

The invention of printing effectually destroyed the monopoly on wisdom. The seeds of sedition became more widely decemated. Revolution was in the air. In a few years the reformation was wi under full swing. The authority of the philosophical class was cast off. The first great rest was made in the wall with which to the privileged classes held the xer exploited in subjection. But that did not give freedom. It only stimulated the thirst for it. Next we hear of the forming of parliments, of the curtailment of the kings power of the king, and the developement of middle classes. Then comes in England the rising of the Puritans under Cromwell, and the contrete and definite protest against the claims of supernaturalism in government. The battle of Nasby and Marston Moor gave thei idea of the divine right of Kings such a shock xh that it has never recovered.

Then came the great experiment of the republican form of government in this country. However much we may criticize our great government today, the fact remains that it stands as the first great experiment attempt of a people to govern themselves without depending on an hereditary ruling class. It is not and never has purely democratic either in spirit or in form, but it is at least

one revolution knxkmxxheaxd in advance of the surviving monard - ies of Europe.

Warfare, martyrdom, hardship, consecration to principle mark the events of this long epock of human developement. It isa all a part of the long present journey from the ancient social order based upon the principles of ppivilege and class rule to developing social order of the modern world based upon the princples of democracy and justice. Of this long evolutionary process studded as it is with revolutions, the socialist movement is the legitimate product, and the lemial descendant. You never can feel the full power and significance of the solalist movment to-day until you see it as the logical product of this great historical process in all its aspects, ecenomic, social, intellectua, athis and eshical. This is the point that I wish to make here, -that the socialist movement is the legitimate and logical product of the fundamental principles and the historic developement of the modern world. It stands fairly and squarely on the principles that have characterised the developement of the modern world, and it faces the condition of our social order to-daywith its eyes open, and offers to society to-day, not in its creeds, and dogmas, but in its principles and its ethical dynamic, the only alternative

Now the immediate task to which the socialist movement is directing its energies is the overthrow of capitalism. The KKKKK capitalist society of to-day is the old privilege of the middle ages stripped of its phylacteries, and its superstitions. Taxxxx Traxgrent xthingsxharexbeenxaccomptinhedxduringxthexexeighx Several great things have been accomplished during the last eight hundred years or more. In the first place it has become wxxxxxxx established as a principle of modern life that no institution, whether it be Church, state, supreme court, or any other institution, or no indididual, either king, priest, lawyer, capitalis, or socialist has any monopoly on thruth truth. None of these has any special privilege or rebate agreement with the Almight y to carry on a trade in truth and wisdom. The results of human EXX effort since the thirty weavers were condemned in England for

-74-

thinking have demonstrated beyond the adventure of a doubt that the stroehouses of wisdom are open to all men. No one can monopdlize truth. Experience is our teacher, and throught experience and wixdex reason we have come to have that little bit of knowledge which we have. When any one comes along with his claims of secret communications with the Almighty, we just laugh at him. The final authority in our judgements of truth and values to-day is not the Church or the state, but humanity. Mywnxkhixxkxxix restance. We have taken that monopoly on truth claimed by the Church and socialized it, taken it from the hands of the Pope and placed it in the hands of the people.

Upon this fact of a socialized authority for truth rests our doctrines of free speech, freedom of the press, and freedom of the pulpit, and our system of public school education. In xpi spite of the many limitations, and small petty attempts to abride the working of these institutions in our present social life, it still remains true that they have become a part of the accepted principles of the modern world. This cannot be effaced from our public mind umless you turn back the last eight hundred years of history. The socialization of the authority for truth in experie ence and in thought is a fundamental principle od the modern world.

In the second place we have gone a long ways towards the socialization of the authority in government. We are making rapid xixi
strides in our time in the direction of political democracy in
spite of every effort of privilege to check and block the movement. This is really the second constructive principle that the
modern world has developed, the principle of the socialization
of political authority. From the arrogant deglaration of the king
who said ,- "I am the State. "bto Lincoln's famous " of the people
by the people and for the people." there are hundreds of years of
conflict and many a bloody battlefield.

These mark the two great revolutions that enter as factors in producing the existing social order. But the revolution in industry has yet to be considered. We have developed in our modern life the most wonderful system of production and the distribution of the necessities and comforts of life. It is estmated that by the work of three to four hours perday we could produce and distribute and that we need to cloth, feed and house ourselves. So really there never was a time when men had such a mastery over nature as we have to-day. The toild of years, and the brains and energies of countless men have gone to the developement of this

great system of rpoduction and distribution.

But and here is the great task of our time and the special problem of the socialist movement, this wonderful system which has been developed by the brains and the labor of the people has become a monopoly. This monopolized wealth has is in the hands of a comaprartively small and well organized class. We have our capitalist society, with its two classes. The owners of the tools of production and distribution on the one hand, and the users of those tools on the other constitute the two essential classes of sociaty to day. The workers get in return for their work only about one fifth of the repoducts of their toil. The result is that they

are compelled to work amout fife times as much as they ought in order to get enough to exist on. Those who do no more at least of the work, got not only ample reward, but they get profits beyond all dreams of averice, A good healthy capitalist, who is on his job, who works in his factory, and has care and responsibility, I have a respect for but we have come to a point where we have developed and idle capitalist class, who do nothing but sport, and flaunt their wealth in the faces of the poor, and then dress the wounds they have made by the salt brine of charity. It is this latter type of person who is the choice fruit of capitalism on the one hand, while on the other we have the extreme poverty wreck ed human beings of our large industrial towns, and metropolitan centres. They are not only exploited in the factory, but they are slowly, almost helplessky dropping donw the ladder of effeiciency and capacity for life in the cruel merciless struggle of our capitalist society. One of the most tragic aspects of the army of unployed is the fact that many of them have been ground to such a state that they not only have no employment, but they have lost the mental, moral, and physical capacity for work. Kundrexxx Thousands upon thousands of them have been ground to low that they

have have not left the capacity to utter a protest against their degredation, but servilely and humbly they accept the pain, the degredation, and the disease that is forced upon them. So it proposition that all men are created free and equal, waxhawaxa in this nation where it was once regarded as almost a sacrilege to divided into two great classes facing each other in sullen and determined struggle. On the faces of one is that arrogance and sm surliness born of wealth and power, on the faces of the other is that desparate resolution, or that deathly resignation born of the hellish fear of poverty.

What, in the face of this situation, are you going to do? "people are asking everywhere. We are going to give away our wealth judiciously, says Andrew Carnagie, It is a sacred trust imposed upon us by God. But not if every town in the country is given a library, can atonement be made for for the Homestead KEXI Strike, and the battle ships of imperialism. We will found great Uviversities, and support foreign missions, says the oils magnate. But not if every State has its Oilendowed University, and every

heathan is converted to Christianity, can atonement be made for the debauchery of our system of government, and our courts . But says another we will regulate it by the legislaturs, and the federal government. We will discriminate between the good and the bad trusts. Yes, but can the slave regulate and punish its master? No men do not want the wealth: What they want is this that the system of production and distribution which society has produced by its brain and its labor shall be used to fulfull the function for which it was developed, - to satisfy the necessities of human life that men max women my be freed from their bondage, and live as they ought. What shall be done in the face of this situation ? Just that which was done by the modern world in the face of a monopoly on truth, and xx monopoly on political power. We have a monopoly on xxxxxxx industrial wealth, We socially produce and socially use these means of distribution and production. Let them be socialized. Let them be used not to exploit the great masses of men, but to free them from the slavery the wage system and poverty, and the degrading conditions that result therefrom. We do not want the vast accumulations of wealth, we want a chane to live a decent human life, with out being haunted by the fear that we

may leave those whom we love to a life of perilous poverty, and its terrible consequences.

Thus we stand to day. The hard cold naked wall of capitalism barren of all Rights green grass is the bulwark behind whicu privilege stands. One the one side is the capitalist class with its dirty slimy spies slinking back and forth through the underground passages of legislation and the courts. On the other kinds the great poverty striken masses of laborers, held to the drudgey of an illrequited toil by the grim necessites of life. We will erect a few universites on the well to cover its nakedness, says one, or libraries says another, . No says the socialist, we will remove the wall, for it is of us and our toil that these men hold their estate.

I have tried to present the developement of the principles, and state the conditions of the existing social order, and to to point out how the logic of history is has developed the remedy for the situation that we face to-day. Apply the principal of democracy to our industrial system so that we may have an industrial system of the people, by the people and for the people. Further more we, want this so that men may have a fair opportunity to xk show what is in them and live full decent human lives.

The socialist in the face of this threatening monopoly declares that the only sane sensible possible thing to do is to apply the very principle which the people for eight hundred year have been applying into the face of monopoly, namly the principle of democracy. The instruments of distribution and production must be socialized. Those things which are socially made made and socially sward used must be socially owned, and socially administered. It seems to me the most sensible and most common -sense proposition that could possibly be advanced.

But swys the man who calls himself a practical man, it is impossible. You could no more manage the remerkanem upon that basis than you could fly. Ferhaps he is right, but so far a as I have observed the large industrials concerns of the country have just demonstrated that he is wrong. You have a large electrical works here in this town. Tell me some of you whom people who work in this plant, would take example the any particular difference who owns the plant? Does it make any particular difference who owns it.? Maximutane Could it not be run just as well and better if it were socially owned, and the work for

were done use instead of for profit? I venture to say that the ownership might be transferred without causing the xxxxxxxxxx slightest disturbance in the running of the factory. The brains for management, and the developement of apparatus, xxx as well a the skill and labor of the workmen are xxxxxixed are probably all hired. Take the Standard Oil Company as an illustration of one of the most effective distributing plants in the world. Doxxie Is not all the essential business of the concern done by hired he help? Take the great railroad systems. They seem to change hands with wonderful ease. The great manipulators buy and seel them every little while, but the traans still run, and the freight moves on. Why , because these roads are already socialy xx uded, and socially managed. They might become socially owned without meeting any insuperable obstacle. When people tell you that the social ization of these tools of production and distribution would be an impossibility and an idle dream, just tell him that the great trusts have already demonstrated not only the possibility but the desirability, not only the desirability, but the inevitability of that very thing. They are one of the great forces that sre making for socialism. Either that or despotism, or that idiotic pro-22-

gram of trust busting. The control and mangement of our affairsh have passed into the hands of a monopoly, what shall we do? We shall socialize that monopoly,

But that would be unjust to the people who by hard labor and thrift, and mixim great ability have www.xxxx laid aside a k bit for a rainy day . Mr. Carnagie fears the needless eye. Well why should he fear. Why did he not give the steel works to society instead of selling them to Mr. Morgan. Instead of having faith to that men whom he appoints to manage a great trust fund, why could he not have faith in men who could manage for society a great industrial plant. It snot the golden eggs that meniety needs but the management of the hen that lays the golden eggs. The truth is that as Mr. Carnagie and others have pointed out their wealth dees not belong to them, but it is held in trust . I agree. Only I be lieve in democracy, and should like to see the people have something/about appointing the trustees. Trennmtrenxintexthisxpoint