# Sciencexpress

# Massive Phytoplankton Blooms Under Arctic Sea Ice

Kevin R. Arrigo,<sup>1</sup>\* Donald K. Perovich,<sup>2,3</sup> Robert S. Pickart,<sup>4</sup> Zachary W. Brown,<sup>1</sup> Gert L. van Dijken,<sup>1</sup> Kate E. Lowry,<sup>1</sup> Matthew M. Mills,<sup>1</sup> Molly A. Palmer,<sup>1</sup> William M. Balch,<sup>5</sup> Frank Bahr,<sup>4</sup> Nicholas R. Bates,<sup>6</sup> Claudia Benitez-Nelson,<sup>7</sup> Bruce Bowler,<sup>5</sup> Emily Brownlee,<sup>8</sup> Jens K. Ehn,<sup>9</sup> Karen E. Frey, <sup>10</sup> Rebecca Garley,<sup>6</sup> Samuel R. Laney,<sup>8</sup> Laura Lubelczyk,<sup>5</sup> Jeremy Mathis,<sup>1</sup> Atsushi Matsuoka,<sup>12</sup> B. Greg Mitchell,<sup>9</sup> G. W. K. Moore,<sup>13</sup> Eva Ortega-Retuerta,<sup>14</sup> Sharmila Pal,<sup>7</sup> Chris M. Polashenski,<sup>2</sup> Rick A. Reynolds,<sup>9</sup> Brian Schieber,<sup>9</sup> Heidi M. Sosik,<sup>8</sup> Michael Stephens,<sup>15</sup> James H. Swift<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Earth System Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. <sup>2</sup>Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH 03755, USA. <sup>3</sup>Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA.  $^{4}$ Department of Physical Oceanography, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA. <sup>5</sup>Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences, West Boothbay Harbor, ME 04575, USA. <sup>6</sup>Bermuda Institute of Ocean Sciences, Ferry Reach GE01, Bermuda. <sup>7</sup>Marine Science Program and Department of Earth and Ocean Sciences, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA. Biology Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA. <sup>9</sup>Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA. <sup>10</sup>Graduate School of Geography, Clark University, Worcester, MA 01610, USA.<sup>11</sup>School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA.<sup>12</sup>Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche, Villefranche-sur-Mer 06238, France. <sup>13</sup>Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A7, Canada. <sup>14</sup>Laboratoire d'Océanographie Microbienne, Observatoire Océanologique, Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique et Université Paris Pierre et Marie Curie, Banyuls/Mer 66650, France. <sup>15</sup>Colby College, Waterville, ME 04901, USA.

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: arrigo@stanford.edu

The seasonal sea ice and snow cover in the Arctic Ocean strongly reflect and attenuate incoming solar radiation. Consequently, current estimates of pan-Arctic primary productivity assume that the growth and biomass of phytoplankton, free-floating single-celled photosynthetic organisms at the base of the marine food web, are negligible in waters beneath ice because of insufficient light (1). However, during the 2011 ICESCAPE (Impacts of Climate on EcoSystems and Chemistry of the Arctic Pacific Environment) cruise, we observed a massive phytoplankton bloom that had developed beneath the 0.8- to 1.3-m-thick first-year sea ice on the Chukchi Sea continental shelf.

From 4 to 8 July, we sampled (2) along two 250-km transects extending from open water far into the ice pack (fig. S1). Depth-integrated phytoplankton biomass beneath the ice was extremely high (Fig. 1, A and B), about fourfold greater than in open water. This massive underice bloom extended for >100 km into the ice pack. Peak particulate organic carbon biomass (28.7 to 32.5 g C m<sup>-2</sup>) was located far within the pack in the vicinity of the shelf break where ice was thickest and nutrient upwelling had been driven by easterly winds. Biomass was greatest (>1000 mg C m<sup>-3</sup>) near the ice/seawater interface and was associated with nutrient depletion to depths of 20 to 30 m (Fig. 1, C and D), indicative of phytoplankton, rather than ice algal, growth. Species composition of the bloom was distinct from that in the overlying ice and was overwhelmingly (>80% by cell cross-sectional area) dominated by healthy pelagic diatoms of the genera Chaetoceros, Thalassiosira, and Fragilariopsis. Furthermore, rates of phytoplankton growth (0.83 to 1.44  $day^{-1}$ ) and carbon fixation (1.2 to 2.0 mg C mg<sup>-1</sup> chlorophyll *a* hour<sup>-1</sup>), and the maximum efficiency of photosystem II (>0.5), were high to depths of >50 m within the under-ice bloom.

In contrast, phytoplankton biomass in open waters was markedly lower than that beneath the ice and was greatest at depths of 20 to 50 m (Fig. 1, A and B) because of nutrient depletion near the surface (Fig. 1, C and D). The high oxygen (480  $\mu$ mol l<sup>-1</sup>) and low dissolved inorganic carbon (2020  $\mu$ mol l<sup>-1</sup>) relative to the low phytoplankton concentrations (~150 mg C m<sup>-3</sup>) in these nutrientdepleted waters suggest that they had recently supported high rates of phytoplankton growth. Thus, the ice-free portions of both transects likely harbored remnant under-ice blooms that had developed near the surface weeks earlier, when the region was icecovered.

The light required by the underice bloom had to penetrate the fully consolidated ice pack to reach the upper ocean. Light transmission through ice was enhanced by a recent increase in the fraction of first-year ice, which is much thinner (0.5 to 1.8 m) than the historically dominant multiyear ice pack (2 to 4 m), and especially by a high surface melt pond fraction (25 to 50%). Optical 🎇 measurements showed that the ice  $\aleph$ beneath these melt ponds transmitted fourfold more incident light (47 to 59%) than adjacent snow-free ice (13 to 18%). Although the under-ice light field was less intense than in ice-free waters, it was sufficient to support the blooms of under-ice phytoplankton, which grew twice as fast at low light as their open ocean counterparts.

The Arctic Ocean has an enormous, mostly ice-covered continental shelf, ~50% of which has surface nitrate concentrations >10  $\mu$ mol l<sup>-1</sup> in early spring (3), making these potential sites for under-ice phytoplankton blooms. Previous reports hinted at similar blooms in the Barents Sea, Beaufort Sea, and Canadian Arctic Archipelago (4-6), suggesting that under-ice blooms are widespread. If so, current rates of annual net primary production on Arctic continental shelves, based only on open water measurements, may be drastic underestimates, being 10-fold too low in our study area. Work is still required to determine the timing and spatial distribution of under-ice phytoplankton blooms across the Arctic Ocean, the extent to which they are controlled by thinning sea ice and proliferating melt pond fractions, and how they affect marine ecosystems. This is particularly important if we are to understand and predict the biological and biogeochemical impacts of ongoing and future changes in the Arctic Ocean physical environment.

#### **References and Notes**

- 1. K. R. Arrigo, G. L. van Dijken, Secular trends in Arctic Ocean net primary production. J. Geophys. Res. 116, C09011 (2011). doi:10.1029/2011JC007151
- 2. Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials on Science Online
- 3. J. Zhang et al., Modeling the impact of declining sea ice on the Arctic marine planktonic ecosystem. J. Geophys. Res. 115, C10015 (2010). doi:10.1029/2009JC005387
- 4. V. H. Strass, E.-M. Nöthig, Seasonal shifts in ice edge phytoplankton blooms in the Barents Sea related to the water column stability. Polar Biol. 16, 409 (1996). doi:10.1007/BF02390423
- 5. C. J. Mundy et al., Contribution of under-ice primary production to an ice-edge upwelling phytoplankton bloom in the Canadian Beaufort Sea. Geophys. Res. Lett. 36, L17601 (2009). doi:10.1029/2009GL038837
- 6. M. Fortier, L. Fortier, C. Michel, L. Legendre, Climatic and biological forcing of the vertical flux of biogenic particles under seasonal Arctic sea ice. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 225, 1 (2002). doi:10.3354/meps225001
- 7. O. Holm-Hansen, C. J. Lorenzen, R. W. Holmes, J. D. H. Strickland,

Fluorometric determination of chlorophyll. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **30**, 3 (1965). doi:10.1093/icesjms/30.1.3

- Z. S. Kolber, O. Prasil, P. G. Falkowski, 8. Measurements of variable chlorophyll fluorescence using fast repetition rate techniques: Defining methodology and experimental Biophysica protocols. Biochimica Acta **Bioenergetics** 1367 88 (1998). doi:10.1016/S0005-2728(98)00135-2
- J. J. Cullen, R. F. Davis, *Limnol. Oceanogr. Bull.* 12, 29 (2003).
- M. R. Lewis, J. C. Smith, A small volume, shortincubation-time method for measurement of photosynthesis as a function of incident irradiance. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 13, 99 (1983). <u>doi:10.3354/meps013099</u>
- K. R. Arrigo *et al.*, Photophysiology in two major Southern Ocean phytoplankton taxa: Photosynthesis and growth of *Phaeocystis antarctica* and *Fragilariopsis cylindrus* under different irradiance levels. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 50, 950 (2010). <u>doi:10.1093/icb/icq021</u> Medline
- T. Platt, C. L. Gallegos, W. G. Harrison, J. Mar. Res. 38, 687 (1980).
- F. A. J. Armstrong, C. R. Stearns, J. D. H. Strickland, *Deep-Sea Res.* 14, 381 (1967).
- 14. N. R. Bates, M. H. P. Best, D. A. Hansell, Spatiotemporal distribution of dissolved inorganic carbon and net community production in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas. *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* **52**, 3303 (2005). doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2005.10.005
- H. M. Sosik, R. J. Olson, Automated taxonomic classification of phytoplankton sampled with imaging in-flow cytometry. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods* 5, 204 (2007). doi:10.4319/lom.2007.5.204

Acknowledgments: We thank the crew of the U.S. Coast Guard Cutter *Healy* for their dedication. This research was supported by the Ocean Biology and

Biogeochemistry and the Cryospheric Sciences programs of NASA. Data deposited in the Dryad Repository: http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.4dn793t6. R. J. Olson and H.M.S. have U.S. Patent Application 20090109432.

#### **Supplementary Materials**

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1215065/DC1 Materials and Methods Fig. S1 References (7–15)

10 October 2011; accepted 07 March 2012 Published online 07 June 2012 10.1126/science.1215065



**Fig. 1.** Under-ice phytoplankton bloom observed during ICESCAPE 2011. (A) Particulate organic carbon (POC) and (C) nitrate from transect 1. (B) POC and (D) nitrate from transect 2. Sea ice concentrations and station numbers are shown above (A) and (B); black dots represent sampling depths; black lines denote potential density.



# Supplementary Materials for

## Massive Phytoplankton Blooms Under Arctic Sea Ice

Kevin R. Arrigo,<sup>1\*</sup> Donald K. Perovich,<sup>2,3</sup> Robert S. Pickart,<sup>4</sup> Zachary W. Brown,<sup>1</sup> Gert L. van Dijken,<sup>1</sup> Kate E. Lowry,<sup>1</sup> Matthew M. Mills,<sup>1</sup> Molly A. Palmer,<sup>1</sup> William M. Balch,<sup>5</sup> Frank Bahr,<sup>4</sup> Nicholas R. Bates,<sup>6</sup> Claudia Benitez-Nelson,<sup>7</sup> Bruce Bowler,<sup>5</sup> Emily Brownlee,<sup>8</sup> Jens K. Ehn,<sup>9</sup> Karen E. Frey,<sup>10</sup> Rebecca Garley,<sup>6</sup> Samuel R. Laney,<sup>8</sup> Laura Lubelczyk,<sup>5</sup> Jeremy Mathis,<sup>11</sup> Atsushi Matsuoka,<sup>12</sup> B. Greg Mitchell,<sup>9</sup> G. W. K. Moore,<sup>13</sup> Eva Ortega-Retuerta,<sup>14</sup> Sharmila Pal,<sup>7</sup> Chris M. Polashenski,<sup>2</sup> Rick A. Reynolds,<sup>9</sup> Brian Schieber,<sup>9</sup> Heidi M. Sosik,<sup>8</sup> Michael Stephens,<sup>15</sup> James H. Swift<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Earth System Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. <sup>2</sup>Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH 03755, USA. <sup>3</sup>Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA. <sup>4</sup>Department of Physical Oceanography, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA. <sup>5</sup>Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences, West Boothbay Harbor, ME 04575, USA. <sup>6</sup>Bermuda Institute of Ocean Sciences, Ferry Reach GE01, Bermuda. <sup>7</sup>Marine Science Program and Department of Earth and Ocean Sciences, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA. <sup>8</sup>Biology Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA. <sup>9</sup>Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA. <sup>10</sup>Graduate School of Geography, Clark University, Worcester, MA 01610, USA. <sup>11</sup>School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA. <sup>12</sup>Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche, Villefranche-sur-Mer 06238, France. <sup>13</sup>Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A7, Canada. <sup>14</sup>Laboratoire d'Océanographie Microbienne, Observatoire Océanologique, Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique et Université Paris Pierre et Marie Curie, Banyuls/Mer 66650, France. <sup>15</sup>Colby College, Waterville, ME 04901, USA.

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: arrigo@stanford.edu

Published 7 June 2012 on *Science* Express DOI: 10.1126/science.1215065

### This PDF file includes:

Materials and Methods Figs. S1 and S2 References

### **Materials and Methods**

Samples for fluorometric analysis of Chl *a* were filtered onto 25 mm Whatman GF/F filters (nominal pore size 0.7 μm) placed in 5 mL of 90% acetone, and extracted in the dark at 3°C for 24 hrs. Chl *a* was measured fluorometrically (8) using a Turner Fluorometer 10-AU (Turner Designs, Inc.).

Particulate organic carbon samples were collected by filtering sub-samples onto pre-combusted (450°C for 4 hrs) 25 mm Whatman GF/F filters. The filters were immediately dried at 60°C and stored dry until analysis. Prior to analysis, the samples were fumed with concentrated HCl, dried at 60°C, and packed into tin capsules (Costech Analytical Technologies, Inc.) for elemental analysis on a Carlo-Erba NA-1500 elemental analyzer. Peach leaves and glutamic acid were used as a calibration standard.

The maximum efficiency of photosystem II (Fv:Fm) was determined by fast repetition rate fluorometry (FRRf) (9) on samples collected with Niskin bottles. Samples were dark acclimated for  $\sim$ 30 min at in situ temperatures before measurement with the FRRf. Blanks for individual samples analyzed by FRRf were prepared by gentle filtration through a 0.2 µm polycarbonate syringe filter before measurement using identical protocols. All Fv:Fm values were corrected for blank effects (*10*).

Photosynthesis versus irradiance relationships ( $P_m^*$ ,  $\alpha^*$ ,  $E_k$ ) were determined using a modified <sup>14</sup>C-bicarbonate incorporation technique (*11-12*). Carbon uptake, normalized by Chl *a* concentration, was calculated from radioisotope incorporation, and the data were fit by least squares nonlinear regression (*13*). P-E parameters were used with under-ice light profiles to estimate rates of depth-integrated daily gross primary production. Specific growth rate ( $\mu$ , d<sup>-1</sup>) in surface waters was calculated by multiplying the photosynthetic rate (P\*) by the POC:Chl *a* ratio.

Water samples collected from Niskin bottles were analyzed for nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>) and nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>) concentrations with a Seal Analytical continuous-flow AutoAnalyzer 3 (AA3) using a modification of the Armstrong *et al.* (*14*) procedure. For the NO<sub>3</sub> analysis, seawater samples were passed through a cadmium reduction column where NO<sub>3</sub> was quantitatively reduced to NO<sub>2</sub>. Sulfanilamide was then introduced to the sample stream followed by N-(1naphthyl) ethylenediamine dihydrochloride which couples to form a red azo dye. The stream was then passed through a flow cell and the absorbance measured at 520 nm. The same technique was employed for NO<sub>2</sub> analysis, except the cadmium column was bypassed. Absorbance vs. concentration standard curves were used to determine the molar concentration of the combined  $[NO_3+NO_2]$  and  $NO_2$  alone.

Seawater samples for DIC were drawn from the Niskin samplers into pre-cleaned ~300 mL borosilicate bottles, poisoned with HgCl<sub>2</sub> to halt biological activity, sealed, and returned to the Bermuda Institute of Ocean Sciences (BIOS) for analysis. DIC samples were analyzed using a highly precise (~0.025%; <0.5 mmoles kg<sup>-1</sup>) gas extraction/coulometric detection system (*15*). Analyses of Certified Reference Materials (provided by A. G. Dickson, Scripps Institution of Oceanography) ensured that the accuracy of the DIC and TA measurements was 0.05% (~0.5 mmoles kg<sup>-1</sup>) and 0.1% (~2 mmoles kg<sup>-1</sup>), respectively.

Phytoplankton assemblage composition was examined using imaging-in-flow cytometry, where high-speed photomicrographs of individual cells and chains were identified to the genus level or better using automated classification (*16*) followed by manual verification.

Fig. S1. MODIS-Aqua satellite image of the northern Chukchi Sea showing the distribution of sea ice on 8 July 2011 and the location of stations sampled during the ICESCAPE 2011 cruise. Black indicates open water. Lines show the position of the ice edge on the indicated dates (AMSR-E). Stations 46-57 are part of Transect 1 and stations 57-71 are Transect 2.



### **References and Notes**

- 1. K. R. Arrigo, G. L. van Dijken, Secular trends in Arctic Ocean net primary production. J. *Geophys. Res.* **116**, C09011 (2011). <u>doi:10.1029/2011JC007151</u>
- 2. Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials on Science Online.
- 3. J. Zhang *et al.*, Modeling the impact of declining sea ice on the Arctic marine planktonic ecosystem. J. Geophys. Res. **115**, C10015 (2010). <u>doi:10.1029/2009JC005387</u>
- V. H. Strass, E.-M. Nöthig, Seasonal shifts in ice edge phytoplankton blooms in the Barents Sea related to the water column stability. *Polar Biol.* 16, 409 (1996). doi:10.1007/BF02390423
- C. J. Mundy *et al.*, Contribution of under-ice primary production to an ice-edge upwelling phytoplankton bloom in the Canadian Beaufort Sea. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36, L17601 (2009). <u>doi:10.1029/2009GL038837</u>
- M. Fortier, L. Fortier, C. Michel, L. Legendre, Climatic and biological forcing of the vertical flux of biogenic particles under seasonal Arctic sea ice. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 225, 1 (2002). doi:10.3354/meps225001
- 7. O. Holm-Hansen, C. J. Lorenzen, R. W. Holmes, J. D. H. Strickland, Fluorometric determination of chlorophyll. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **30**, 3 (1965). <u>doi:10.1093/icesjms/30.1.3</u>
- Z. S. Kolber, O. Prasil, P. G. Falkowski, Measurements of variable chlorophyll fluorescence using fast repetition rate techniques: Defining methodology and experimental protocols. *Biochimica Biophysica Acta Bioenergetics* 1367, 88 (1998). <u>doi:10.1016/S0005-</u> 2728(98)00135-2
- 9. J. J. Cullen, R. F. Davis, Limnol. Oceanogr. Bull. 12, 29 (2003).
- M. R. Lewis, J. C. Smith, A small volume, short-incubation-time method for measurement of photosynthesis as a function of incident irradiance. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 13, 99 (1983). doi:10.3354/meps013099
- 11. K. R. Arrigo *et al.*, Photophysiology in two major Southern Ocean phytoplankton taxa: Photosynthesis and growth of *Phaeocystis antarctica* and *Fragilariopsis cylindrus* under different irradiance levels. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **50**, 950 (2010). <u>doi:10.1093/icb/icq021</u> <u>Medline</u>
- 12. T. Platt, C. L. Gallegos, W. G. Harrison, J. Mar. Res. 38, 687 (1980).
- 13. F. A. J. Armstrong, C. R. Stearns, J. D. H. Strickland, Deep-Sea Res. 14, 381 (1967).
- N. R. Bates, M. H. P. Best, D. A. Hansell, Spatio-temporal distribution of dissolved inorganic carbon and net community production in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas. *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* 52, 3303 (2005). <u>doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2005.10.005</u>
- 15. H. M. Sosik, R. J. Olson, Automated taxonomic classification of phytoplankton sampled with imaging in-flow cytometry. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods* 5, 204 (2007). <u>doi:10.4319/lom.2007.5.204</u>