Recapitulation.

First. Amos and the phophetic movment caused a beginning of putting into written form the ancient tradition of the Hebrew people. J and E and J.E. resulted. eighth Century B.C.

yell 24-1957

Second. The Prophetic Party under Josiah in 623 brought about the first step towards a Canonical Bible .

Third. During the Exile and in the Perain Period down to 385, the Pentateuch as we know it was given canonical standing.

Fourth. Between 300 B.C. and 165 or therabouts the Prophes were included, making the Law and the Prophits.

Fifth / Between 100 B.C. and one hundred A.D. the Other Writings were added, as a result against the reaction of the Christian Movement.

Sixth. The Christian Old Testament included besides the 24 books of the Bhebrew Bible several that were rejected in the Hebrew but were found in the Septuagint Translation which was the Bible of the Cextr Early Christians.

Rave him for very week.
Rave him for very the away
the extent of the away
there our favor.

Worden Janes.

Lecture VII . A 1.

The Old Testament Canon.

It is commonly accepted that there was a definite time when a certain fixed number of Books known as the Old Testament were set aside and marked off from all other literature as peculiar in character, supernatural inmorigin, and designed for a special and peruliar purpose in the economy of man.

Which which entered into the makeup of these books, and up to this point we have not found any such moment in history in which the people became conscious that they were handling a peculiar and fixed and authoritative collection of books known a such as might be called Scripture. For a moment it seemed as if we had stumbled upon such a moment when the people accepted ball R.C. = TI Mings 2 2/1-10. The Book of Instruction Under Josiah after it was discovered in the Temple. But later events indicated that while a few prophets may have held to that view of the book, the majority of the people were not enough impressed to compel recognition of its peculiar teaching.

In this lecture we are seeking definitely to set forth the history xxxxx of the events, and present the time and phace when the Hebrew People acknowledged in some way, or expressed by some act a recognition of the limts and characteristics of the Hebrew Bible.

As might be expected this also is a process of evolution.

There are faint and obscure traces of some such recognition of such a book, ats qualities and its characteristics, but the clear definition is a very late event. When we often hear people spek as if the Jewish People before the time of Christ were fonstant readers of the Old Testament. Such is not the case.

Lecture VII B 1.

Canon.

The word Canon cemes from the Greek "Kanon", Must which means "Rule or Law. In the sense in which it is used when we speak of the Bible Canon, or the Old Testament anon, or the New Teatmant Canon, it means, I "The Books of the Bible recognized by the Church as the inspired rule of faith and practise; also, the catalogue or list of these books, or one such book." St. Dic.

In other words the dividing line between the canonical books and the ordinary books is one the question of inspiration. To we use the explanation given in Bennet and Adneys introduction, "xxwxxidaxxofxa ACanon, or a collection of booksdistinguisehed from all others by unique inspiration and religious authority."

It is commonly accepted to-day that this idea of a peculiar collections of books, inspired and having apecial authority had developed among the Jews by the time of the Christian Era, kntx atxidiaxidaxandxfax But this general acceptance of a group of inspired books did not go so far as to come to an agreement upon the list of books inxidexidates to be included in the Canon until a century later. There was a great division of opinion and between the Palestinian Jews and the Alexandrinian Jews as to what books should be included in the Canon, Enden among the Pelestinian Jews the question as to whether Ezekiel, Ecclesiastes, Ruth, Esther, Proverbs, and Song of Songs should be included in the Canon was a subject of debate until the Synod of Jamnia in 90 A. D.

Lecture VII B 2.

Perhaps the best notion that we can get of the nature of the Canon as viewed in the past, and the easiest way to get a starting point in developing kkix line of thought to-night will be to and The Great Synagogue tell the legend of Ezra zenezekwingxike/ and the Books of Scripte ure. This ix legend is however the foundation of the popular belief concerning the time of closing the Old Testament Canon.

The substance of the legend, whether related directly to Ezra or indirectly through the great Synagogue is substantially them was same. It is found in Second Esdras, or 4 Esdras.

The Destruction of Jerusalem by the Babylonians, or as they were called more frequently, The Caldeans, offered the explanation for a lack of an ancient Scripture. Tradition says that "The Law was Burnt" So Ezra offered the prayer, - "If I have dound grace before Thee, send the Holy Ghost into me, and iI shall write all that hath been done in the world since the beginning which were written in thy law." Ezra's Prayer is heard.

Thus is the revelation which came to Ezra described In Eddras, // Eerchar /4-

"So I took the five men, as he commended me, and we went into the field, and remained there. And the next day, Behold a voice called me, saying Esdras, Open thy mouth, and, hehold he reached me a full cup, which was full as it were with water, but the color of it was like fire. And I took it and drank; and when I had drunk of it, my heart uttered understanding, and wisdom grew in my breast, for my spirit preserved memory: and my mouth was opened, and shut no more. The Highest gave understanding to the five men, and they wrote the wonderful visions (?) of thw night that were told, which they knew not (or, in letters which they

Lecture VII B

understood not): and they sat forty days, and they wrote in the day, and at night they ate bread. As for me, I spake in the day, and I held not my tongue by night. In forty days they wrote ninety four **xxx*() other readings, 204 904-) books. And it came to pass, when forty days were fulfilled, that the Highest spake, saying, The first that thou hast written publish openly, that the worthy and the unworthy may read it; but keep the seventy last, that thou mayest deliver them only to such as be wise among the people: for in them is the spring of understanding, the fountain of wisdom, and the stream of Knowledge."

2 (4) Esdras XIV 37-48.

This legend was written at about 90 A.D. Whether it is an old legend, dating to a much earlier date, or simply a story arranged by the writer of 2 Esdras cannot be determined. It is the foundation , however , for the Othodox idea that the old Testament Canon was closed by Ezra. But a story of that character does not have very much weight in fixing an alleged historical fact of some 500 years earlier. While this legend was accepted as history by later Christians. ne mention is made in Rabinnic Literature of the Legend that Ezra was supernaturally empowered to recall to memory the destroyed scripture, but tradition says that he committed to writing a pure copy of them, and de posited the copy in the Temple Courts. Iraneus knows of some the tradition. Circ 170 A.D. Origen says "Either Ezra recalled these paalms also to memory along with the rest of the Scriptures, or the wise men of old among the Hebrews collected hose that were current as each man's Memory happened to serve him." Ryle 242.

Passages from theologians and church historians all along the line down to the reffrmation hold to this legendary origin of the Old Testament Canon.

Another variation of this Legend is in the form that the work was done by the men of the Great Synagogue. Thus, as an illustratoen Brian Walton, Bishop of Chester 1600-61

" The first and most famous edition of the books of the Old Testament was that of Ezra, whom the Jews call the a second Moses, and the Greatm Sanhedrim, or the men of the Great Synagogue, after the return from Babylon. For there no longer existed either the Temple or the Tabarnacle, where the authentic copies had been deposited, the sacred volumes were neglegently kept all through the period of captivity. being the case. Ezra and his companions collected the MSS from various quarters, arranged them in order, and reduced them to the compass of a single volume. They removed the corruptions which the text had suffered, and restored it to its former pure state; thus they established the canon. Their work of establishing the Canon possessed truly divine authority; for there belonged to that council not only Ezra but also the last of the Prophets, Haggai, Eechariah, Malachi, and (as some think) Daniel. " Ryle 252.

Just one more illustration to see this idea carried to the limit.

Hottinger in 1659 published Thesaurus Philologicus in which
he says,-

"It has been an incontrovertible principle as well with Christians--- those indeed who have not a fungus for a brain--- ase as with Jews, that the Canon of the Old Testament was all, at one and the same time, established, with an authority

Lecture VII

absolutely divine, by Ezra and the men of the Great Synagogue."

Ryle 252.

Such was the prevailing idea concerning the manner and the date of the closing of the Canonx of the Old Testament. Here and there the historic accuracy of the explanation was questioned, but not with any great success until the latter part of the L9th Century. It all rested on this story of second Esdras written about 90 to 100 A.D. some five hundred years after the events are said to have taken place.

Lecture VII C

Such is the old theory then, that the Canon was closed by Ezra and that he was supernaturally inspired in what he did.

There is no good foundation for that notion. Yust what did take place may be obscure, but certainly that which has been held to be have taken place did not.

In 62 Hilkiah found the Book of the Law in the Temple while certain repairs were being made. This caused a great reformation that was very short lived. In 597 the first group of Rakykanians Hebrews went to Babylon on the Exile. In 586 after the city of Jerusalem had been sacked, and the Temple burned, a second . and very much larger number went to Babylon. While there is no direct evidence, yet there is every reason to believe that these Exiles had with them in some form or other, the JE document, and the Book of Instruction, the Kernal of Deuteronomy. They also doubtless had books of the Prophetic Writings, like some of Isaiah. Amos, Hosea, Jeremiah etc. But neither these old documents nor the Tawa Books of the Law had any particular standing among the people at large. The Burden of the Exilic Prophets is the apostacy of the People. Among those who belonged to the Prophetic party the Book of Instruction probably was held in peculiar regard, and uadd as the modern Othodox Christian uses the Bible, as authority that cannot be questioned.

the formation of the Bible, but also we see working the forces that produced Christianity. This latter will be one of the points that must come to light somewhat to-night.

The Period from 556 B.C. to the time of Christ is naturally divided into three parts .

First 586 to 333 during which the Persians were the controllers of the destinies, and the political masters of the In 58% Cyrus captured Babylon, and the next year issued a decree which permitted xxxxxxx the Exiled Jews to return to Jerusalem.

As a matter of fact very few of them availed themselves of this opportunity, upon which fact there hangs many interesting threads of speculation.

At this point it is necessary to make a general observation. In studying the history of the Bible, the Bible people, and the development of Christianity, students in the past have paid very little attention to the last four hundred years before Christ, for they regarded them as barren and prefitless periods of history. The reason why these four centuries were neglected was because they believed that the Legend about Ezra and the Old Testament Canon. They thought that by about 444 B.C. the Old Testament as we know it now was completed and handed forth to the Jews. So in ancient biblical history this period remains a blank. As a matter of fact most of the books of the Old Testament were written after that date, and practically speaking every single book was edited and put into the shape in which we now know it. The there were

But the new point of view has made this period/the most interesting and facinating periods of ancient hisotry. In the movements of this period we not pnly find our understanding of the formation of the Bible, but also we see working the forces that produced Christianity. This latter will be one of the points that must come to light somewhat to-night.

The Period from $5\mathbf{5}\mathbf{6}$ B.C. to the time of Christ is naturally divided into three parts .

First 586 to 333 during which the Persians were the controllers of the destinies, and the political masters of the Jewish people. During this period of two hundred years the interplay of the one people upon the other, and the influence of the Persian Religion upon the Jewish thought, were profoubdly significant forces. For example the idea of the resurrection which was prominent in Christianity came into the Jewish line of development from Persian religion.

In 333 or theraboutsAlexander of Mecedon defeated the Persians and Palestine and the Jewish people had a new master, the great Greek nation. Greece thus held sway until 63 B.C. when Pompey appeared in Syria, and Rome became the Haster, and 40 B.C. Herod was appointed King of Judea by the Roman Senate. During this, Grecian Period the processary changes and developments of the most interesting character, and most far-reaching significance were taking place. Two ideas of profound significance in early Christianity are tracable to this period. One its the Messianic idea, and the other the Logos Idea. The one is probably natural to Judaism, and the other is a contribution of Greek philosophy to Christian Thought.

Roman Period. With the Roman Period we have very little to do so far as the concerns of this lecture are concerned. It begins in 63. B.C.

In the discussion of the formation of the Canon we must bear in mind this background. Babylonian influence, Perisan Influence, Greek influence, and Roman.

Second one other factor must be noted. The division of the Jews into two factions, Those of the Dispersion, and those who were left at home. The Samaritans, so looked down upon by the NeWTestament writers, were the Israelites who had remained in Palestine, the survivers of the old Northern Kingdom. They had

not come in contact with the Babylonians, or the Persians, or the Greeks in any such manner as those Jews had who had been exiled. The power of intellectual absorption which has been the characterisitic of the Jewish people of the Diappreion registered itself in the evolution of the religious ritual, and religious literature that Judaism developed. We shall be dealing almost entirely with those Jews who had been under the influence of foreign people.

the finished that the desired between the control and the second second

the Appendiculation of the appendiculation and the property of the second

As has been pointed out before the Jewish Scripture, or the Old Testament was devided into three sections.

The first section was the Law. It included the first five books of the O.T. or the Pentateuch as it was called by the Greek-speaking Jews.

The second section was the Prophets, oxxxxxx They were divided into the former Prophets, Joshua, Judges, Samuel, Kings the latter prophets, Asaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and The Twelve.

Then came the "Other writings" including the psalms/ Chronicles, Job, Proverbs, Daniel, Ezra, Nehemiah; Ruth Song of Songs, Ecclesiastes, Lamentations, and Esther.

There This constitued the Hebrew Bible. It contained 24 books, sometimes in the lists is was grouped as 22 becaluse there were 22 letters in the Hebrew Alphabet.

We count the same number of books as 39, dividing them up, a and counting the twelve minor prophets as one book each.

The Christians, i.e. the early Christians included in the Bible many other books, 12 or fourteen books ********************** which it has been the custom of the Protestant world to speak of as the Apocrypha. They were accepted as scripture by the early christian Church, and also by the Catholic Church, and are truly representative of that spirit of extra canonical Judaism that developed into Christianity.

As we have said the year 623 when Hilkiah found the Book of Instruction in the Temple, we have the first trace of an authoritative book among the Jews. That was a small book now a part of Deuteronomy.

In 597 the first contingent of Jews were taken to Babylon in Exile. In 586 the senond group went. Practically the dominant and certainly the most influenctial portion of the Jews were now living wadar in foreign lands under strange customs, and subject to new influences. The prophetic party of the Exiles took great interest in the literary and ritualistic tradition of the Exile. They gathered together. and probably re-edited and combined the J , E and Deuteronomy into one book. It is possible that those who returned to Jerusalem after the Fall of Babylon may have accorded to this collection of writings authority akin to canonical power. That is not certain This is the Period of Persian Domination that we are dealing with. The important historical facts to be remembered the dedicati tion of the TEMPLE second Temple 516. Second the mission of Nehemiah when the walls of Jerusalem was rebuilt, and the promulgation of the Priestly narrative of the Pentateuch. These two events sympolize the development of Judaisim during the Perisan Period. The best scholars date the rebuilding of the Temple at 385, and the premulgation of the Priestly laws at athe same date.

Between 385, and 350 B.C. the Priestly Code was combined with the other documents of the Pentateuch and we have the Pentateuch substantially as it is to day.

During this wame period also we have taking place that pro-

This Priestly Code thus promulgated betrays great race consciousness such as the patriotic exiles would develop. It amphasis emphesises the Temple worship, Especially it emphesised the sin of marrying with non-Jewish people. That was absolutely farked forbidden. The keeping of the Sabbath was enjoined. In other words this event, both the rebuilding of the walls of Jerusalem and the reading of the Law was an expression of race consciousness, race purity, religious exclusiveness. Of this spirit, the ancient tradtions, as embodied in the Documents J.E. and in the Deuteronomy were the modification of faith in Yahweh was the embodyment. The Pentateuch had now become the canonical Torah, whose authority carried great weight, if not final influence.

I have said that this first canonical Bible was an accomplish ed fact by about 350 B.C. It is possible to date it 75 y2ars

earlier, or shortly after 432 B.C. But many very difficult facts have to be overlooked in order to do this. Saxitaisana In sort the First Canon of Scripture, the Pentateuch, was completed about 350. Taxibaixana Between the date 623, and 385 the evolution of the written authoritative book of the Law or Torah was accomplished.

Meanwhile another line of Literature had been collecting. It was not yet Canonical. In fact much of it, instead of being canonical was regarded as very heretical and unpatriotic. Assatsikingsikkus xixluxiratianxafxthat These books were the Phophets. The historia bux Books of the Prophets , Kings, Samuel, Joshua, Judges , had been collected and edited during the Exile by the Deuteronomic and then by the Priestly party. In proportionn as these documents threw the glory of Israel in relief against the back-ground of Heathan history, just in that proportion they were revered and loved by the Patriotic Jew. For that reason they came in time to have a place of great importance in the Jewish mind. As the polite ical aspects of Jewish life seemed to become less encouraging, so it past history loomed larger and larger in their minds. They are not very good history, but they were well adapted to teach the Jews veneration for the law, and the God of the Jews. They found a place in the Manuax second group of Writings that made up the Bible, -- the Prophets/ They formed a group by them-selves and were known as the "Former Peophets.

Torah wax as Canonical Scripture was the product of the Exile, and Persian period/ Then we may say that the Prophets were accepted in into the Canon as a result of the Greek influence. This will appear more clearly a little later. Prof Ryle of King's College Cambridge who writes from a conservative point of view, says,—that the earliest date at which the Prophets could have begun to be recognized as Canonical could not be earlier than 300 B.C.

He asks the question as to whether it might not have been the xxxx spread of Hellenic Culture which contributed the crowning influence to the desire of the Jewish community to expand the limits of their sacred Literature, and to admit the writings of the prophets, for purposes of public readings into the Synagogue.

But the first definite reference to any and any of these names is found in the Book of Ecclesiasticus, one of the O.T Apochrypha where Mesus, the son of Serach, in his eulogy of famous men speaks of events as Establic recorded in Joshua, Samuer and kings. and refers to Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and The twelve."

White This book was written about 180 B.C. While it does make any direct statement as to the relation of the two sections, the kawa Law and the Prophets, yet it does not make any distinctions haten between them.

The second evidence is that Daniel, which was written 165 B.C. in referring to a incident in Jeremiah, speaks of the Books.

Third. In the introduction to Ecclesiacticus, wwhich was written in 132 B.C. the writer distinctly refers to "The law and The Prophets", the earliest known use of the phrase.

Thus it seems probable that the Hebrew Scripture was kning.

Marged by 150 180 B.C. so as to include within the sacred both

Lecture VII E 3

the Law and the Prophets, thus making 13 books in all, as the jewsish Bible at this time.

She Samaritus never everged their Bobe, but always plung tenariously to the Tools or Pertalenel," That same introduction to Ecclesiasticus mentions also besides the Law and the Prophets, "Other writings" This group consisted of the following,

Ruth , Psalms , Job , Proverbs , Ecclesiastes , Canticles or Song of Songs, Lamentations , Daniel , Esther , Ezra (including Nehemiah)xt , Chrontcles .

Thexdatesxassignedxtexthexxaxionsxhooksxefxthisxgroupxare

Some of the dates of this group of books are interesting For example proverbs, a collection of wisdo, literature and sayings was completed about 200 B.C. Ecclesiastes, 180 B.C. The book of Daniel 165 B.C. Ruth 350 or ther abouts.

The Book of Ruth illustrates a fundamental principle in the the development of a literature. It was written to express the idea of the beauty, and the right of marriage with non Jewish people. That the artifactors In purpose and teaching it was directly opposed to the Priestly teaching concerning mixed marriag ges, and was written to oppose that idea. Yet a couple centuries leter it is included in the Canon, and of inspired authority.

That this third group of writings was included in the Canon after 165 B.C. and prior to about 90 A.D. It is probable that the the official action of the Jewish Church defining and limiting the Canon of the Old Testament, and thus including the Third Group called the Writings came about as a result of the growing opposition between conservative Judaism and heretical Christianity.

At least the first Rabbinical Council of Mamnia, about 100 A.D. passed on the Canon of the Old Testament, leaving it as we have just seen. 24 books, in three parts, Law Prophets and other writings.

In this council there was ******** difference of opinion over the Song of Songs and Icclesiastes. The Book of second Exdras write ten about 90 A.D. gives the Canonical list as 24 . Josephus writing about 90 A.D. gives the list as 22, Possibly running Ruth as a part of Judges, and Lamentations as part of Jeremiah, so as to have just 22 books. This reason is doubtlful. Alfhabet

By the year 200 A.D. the question of the Hebrew Canon was settled, and prabably much earlier than that any serious question ing of the right of books to a place in the Canon had ceased among the Hebrews. Ezekiel, Ecclesiastes, Song of Songs and Esther were disputed books to a late day.

I have said that the closing of the Old Testament Canon was the reaction within the Jewish Synagogue of the Christian MANKE Movement without. Outside of Palestine the Christians were Mike either Greek-sepaking Jews or Gentiles. The Language of the early Christian movement was Greek. Their Bible was a Greek Translation of the Hebrew Books. There represented the broad view of the travelling world as opposed to the provincial view of Palestinian Judaism. In their Bible, the LXX, the Greek translation, they included many books not found in the Hebrew Bible at all, but distinctly rejected by the Hebrews.

So there were really two Old Testament, one the Palestinian, and the other, the Alexandrian Bible? The latter represented the greek influence upon Judaism.

This larger, or Alexandrian Ribba Old Testament is the Bible of the larger portion of the Early Christian Church? They accepted not only those books which the Jews accepted but several others, Esdra, i and II, Tobit, Judith, The rest of Esther. Wisdom, Ecclesiasticus, Baruch, with the epistle of Jeremiah, The Song of the Three Chrildren, The Story of Susanna, The Idol bel and the Dragon, The Prayer of Mannassa, Maccabees I and II

In the Bible of the Catholci Church these are a part of the Bible and represent a true Christian tradition.

In the early Christian Chruch this KXX translation was used by the Christians.

The Church father quoted from these books as well as from the other old Testament books. First and second Clement,

Barnabas, Ignatius, and the Teaching of the Twelve contain the
allusions to the books with the saem sort of introduction as is we
used in quotations from Canonical books.

Except in such cases as where they scholars went back to the Hebrew Bible for authority, the Christian Bible has included these Apocryphal books? A They represent the Hebrew Bible plus who what the Early Christian Movement, or perhaps the Graeco-Jewish movement that culminated in Christianity would be nature have addaed ed to the Old Hebrew Bible. An under standing of them is essential to a good inderstanding of the spirit of the early Christian Movment.